Rituximab monitoring and redosing in pediatric neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder

ABSTRACT

Objective: To study rituximab in pediatric neuromyelitis optica (NMO)/NMO spectrum disorders (NMOSD) and the relationship between rituximab, B cell repopulation, and relapses in order to improve rituximab monitoring and redosing.

Methods: Multicenter retrospective study of 16 children with NMO/NMOSD receiving ≥2 rituximab courses. According to CD19 counts, events during rituximab were categorized as “repopulation,” “depletion,” or “depletion failure” relapses (repopulation threshold CD19 ≥10 × 10⁶ cells/L).

Results: The 16 patients (14 girls; mean age 9.6 years, range 1.8–15.3) had a mean of 6.1 events (range 1–11) during a mean follow-up of 6.1 years (range 1.6–13.6) and received a total of 76 rituximab courses (mean 4.7, range 2–9) in 42.6-year cohort treatment. Before rituximab, 62.5% had received azathioprine, mycophenolate mofetil, or cyclophosphamide. Mean time from rituximab to last documented B cell depletion and first repopulation was 4.5 and 6.8 months, respectively, with large interpatient variability. Earliest repopulations occurred with the lowest doses. Significant reduction between pre- and post-rituximab annualized relapse rate (ARR) was observed (p = 0.003). During rituximab, 6 patients were relapse-free, although 21 relapses occurred in 10 patients, including 13 “repopulation,” 3 “depletion,” and 4 “depletion failure” relapses. Of the 13 “repopulation” relapses, 4 had CD19 10–50 × 10⁶ cells/L, 10 had inadequate monitoring (<1 CD19 in the 4 months before relapses), and 5 had delayed redosing after repopulation detection.

Conclusion: Rituximab is effective in relapse prevention, but B cell repopulation creates a risk of relapse. Redosing before B cell repopulation could reduce the relapse risk further.

Classification of evidence: This study provides Class IV evidence that rituximab significantly reduces ARR in pediatric NMO/NMOSD. This study also demonstrates a relationship between B cell repopulation and relapses. Neurology Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm 2016;3:e188; doi: 10.1212/NXI.0000000000000188

GLOSSARY

AQP4 = aquaporin-4; ARR = annualized relapse rate; EDSS = Expanded Disability Status Scale; IVIg = IV immunoglobulin; MS = multiple sclerosis; MOG = myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein; NMO = neuromyelitis optica; NMOSD = NMO spectrum disorders; ON = optic neuritis; TM = transverse myelitis.

Neuromyelitis optica (NMO) is an autoimmune inflammatory demyelinating disease of the CNS. Although previously considered a multiple sclerosis (MS) variant, IgG autoantibody targeting aquaporin-4 (AQP4) channel (NMO-IgG) has clearly demonstrated that NMO is a separate entity. NMO lesions are characterized by humoral inflammatory response and astrocytic cell death with AQP4 loss, followed by inflammatory demyelination and axonal damage.
The course of NMO is characterized by a high relapse rate with accumulation of neurologic disability, potentially causing permanent blindness and paralysis. Therefore, relapse prevention is crucial. Differentiation from MS is important because some MS therapies fail to control or may aggravate NMO. Even though the optimal therapeutic regimen has not been established, acute NMO attacks are mainly treated with corticosteroids, plasma exchange, and IV immunoglobulin (IVIg); azathioprine, methotrexate, mycophenolate mofetil, rituximab, mitoxantrone, cyclophosphamide, and tocilizumab have been used to prevent relapses.7

Rituximab is an anti-CD20 chimeric monoclonal antibody that depletes B cells that is used in severe autoimmune and inflammatory CNS disorders despite the risk of infections, as recently demonstrated in a large pediatric study. One prospective and 3 retrospective adult NMO studies demonstrated reduced annualized relapse rate (ARR) and significantly improved Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) score with rituximab. Pediatric data are more limited and retrospective. No study specifically addresses optimal rituximab monitoring and redosing to prevent relapses and reduce disability. To clarify these aspects, we retrospectively studied 16 children with NMO who received ≥2 rituximab courses in order to establish rituximab efficacy, the time from rituximab to B cell repopulation, and the relationship between B cell repopulation and relapses.

**METHODS Patients.** We identified 16 patients with NMO who received ≥2 rituximab courses (<18 years at first dose) from 9 international pediatric neuroimmunology centers. NMO was defined according to the revised Wingerchuk criteria for NMO and NMO spectrum disorders (NMOSD). In 13 of 16 patients, diagnosis of definite NMO was met based on the presence of both optic neuritis (ON) and transverse myelitis (TM). The remaining 3 children had NMOSD (1 had a single attack of isolated TM, 1 had an attack of TM and brainstem manifestations, and 1 had recurrent ON), and these patients were all NMO-IgG positive. Regarding serologic status, 15 of 16 patients were positive for NMO-IgG or AQP4 antibodies: 12 were tested and positive for NMO-IgG using immunofluorescence, and 3 were tested and positive for both NMO-IgG (using immunofluorescence) and anti-AQP4 antibodies (using cell-based assay). One patient was negative for NMO-IgG but positive for anti-myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG) antibodies using cell-based assay (not tested for anti-AQP4 antibodies) (patient 7).

**Data collection.** Data were retrospectively collected by the main investigator (R.C.D.) through telephone interviews to the physicians using a structured questionnaire created for this study. Information recorded included demographics, clinical characteristics of disease, immune therapies received besides rituximab, rituximab regimen, CD19 count measurements, and outcome. Data collection focused on the relationship between rituximab administration (timing, dose, number of courses, adverse reactions), CD19 counts, and relapses. First-line immune therapy was defined as corticosteroids, IVIg, and plasma exchange, whereas second-line immune therapy included rituximab, cyclophosphamide, azathioprine, and mycophenolate mofetil. Disease duration pre-rituximab was defined as the time between onset (first event) and initiation of rituximab treatment. Rituximab treatment duration was defined as the time between rituximab initiation and last follow-up (for patients with ongoing rituximab) or the date of final CD19 repopulation (for patients who stopped rituximab).

**CD19 values and relationship to relapses.** The threshold for B cell repopulation was defined as CD19 count ≥10 × 10⁶ cells/L, as previously proposed. In order to study the B cell status during relapses, we used the CD19 count closest to the clinical event (mean 4.6 days before or after the event, median 1, range 0–22). We categorized a relapse as a “repopulation” relapse when it was associated with B cell repopulation ≥10 × 10⁶ cells/L, as a “depletion” relapse when it occurred despite B cell depletion <10 × 10⁶ cells/L, or as a “depletion failure” relapse when it occurred following a rituximab course failing to deplete B cells despite conventional rituximab doses and adequate CD19 monitoring. In order to examine the timing of CD19 repopulation, we used data only from rituximab courses with evidence of both B cell depletion and subsequent repopulation (31 courses from 13 patients).

**Therapeutic efficacy.** We used ARR as a clinical indicator of therapeutic efficacy by comparing the ARR pre-rituximab and during rituximab. ARR was calculated only when a time span of ≥6 months was available. One relapse (patient 13) occurred 14 days after the first rituximab course and was considered to occur before treatment effect because B cell depletion may take up to 1 month after rituximab administration. Pre- and post-rituximab ARR were compared using the Wilcoxon 2-sample test (only patients with both pre- and post-rituximab ARR were included). EDSS score was calculated retrospectively to assess the neurologic outcome at the last follow-up. We used Spearman correlation coefficient (nonparametric) for correlating relapse number with EDSS score at last follow-up.

**Research questions and classification of evidence.** Our primary research objectives were to determine the efficacy of rituximab using ARR and to determine the relationship of relapses to B cell repopulation. Given the retrospective nature of our study and lack of a control group, our study represents Class IV evidence.

**Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents.** Patient data were acquired after local ethical approval or using preexisting approved studies to collect deidentified clinical data.
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**RESULTS**  **Demographics.** Sixteen children (14 girls) with NMO or NMOSD treated with ≥2 rituximab courses were included in our study (mean age 9.6 years, median 10.9, range 1.8–15.3). The patient race was white (n = 8), black or African American (n = 5), Native Pacific Islander (n = 1), mixed white and Native Pacific Islander (n = 1), and mixed African, Asian, and white (n = 1).

**Clinical presentation and disease course.** Disease onset was between 2000 and 2012. Ten patients had ON and/or TM at onset (ON: n = 4; TM: n = 4; both ON and TM: n = 2). The other presentations were brainstem disease only (n = 3), TM and brainstem disease (n = 2), and ON and brainstem disease (n = 1). The mean total duration of disease (time from onset to last follow-up) was 6.1 years (median 5.1, range 1.6–13.6). In the 16 children, 98 total events occurred (mean 6.1, median 5, range 1–11), most of which (71 of 98) were monosymptomatic attacks (isolated ON: n = 29; isolated TM: n = 38; isolated brainstem disease: n = 4). The remaining attacks were concurrent ON and TM (n = 13); TM and brainstem disease (n = 9); ON, TM, and brainstem disease (n = 2); ON and brainstem disease (n = 1); or other (n = 2). Figure 1 illustrates the clinical course of the 16 patients (clinical events, second-line immune therapies, and rituximab courses).

**Immune therapies before rituximab.** Before rituximab, all patients received IV methylprednisolone followed by oral prednisolone tapers; 8 patients received plasma exchange and 8 received IVIg. Ten patients received other second-line immune treatments before rituximab (figure 1 and table 1): mycophenolate mofetil (n = 5; 2 of 5 also received azathioprine), azathioprine (n = 5; 2 of 5 also received mycophenolate mofetil and 1 of 5 also received cyclophosphamide), and cyclophosphamide (n = 3; 1 of 3 also received azathioprine).

---

**Figure 1  Clinical course of the 16 patients: Clinical events, second-line immune treatments, and rituximab courses**

AZA = azathioprine; CYC = cyclophosphamide; MMF = mycophenolate mofetil; RTX = rituximab.
Rituximab administration. A total of 76 rituximab courses were administered in the 16 patients (mean 4.7, median 4.5, range 2–9) (figure 1). The mean time between the first infusion of the first and last rituximab courses was 29.8 months (median 23.5, range 5.7–93). The protocols for administration (in descending order of frequency) were as follows: 1,000 mg/m² × 2 infusions 2–4 weeks apart (n = 31 courses), 375 mg/m² × 4 weekly infusions (n = 19 courses), 375 mg/m² × 1 infusion (n = 10 courses), 375 mg/m² × 2 infusions 2 weeks apart (n = 9 courses), 750 mg/m² × 2 infusions 2 weeks apart (n = 5 courses), and 500 mg/m² × 2 infusions 2 weeks apart (n = 2 courses). Rituximab was redosed at a mean of 7.9 months (median 7.6, range 2.2–28.3). In some patients it was redosed after a relapse, in others after detection of B cell repopulation, and in a minority at regular intervals regardless of B cell status. At last available follow-up, rituximab was ongoing in 13 patients. Rituximab was discontinued in the 3 remaining patients because of difficulty coming to the hospital (patient 1), treatment failure (patient 2), and relapse freedom for ≥2 years (patient 10).

Infusion reactions and adverse events. Data on infusion reactions to rituximab and adverse events were available in 14 of 16 patients. Infusion reactions occurred in 6 of 14 children (dyspnea: n = 2; rash: n = 2; chest pain: n = 1; lightheadedness: n = 1; tingling and stinging sensation in mouth and throat: n = 1). Other adverse reactions occurred in 3 of 14 children, including infections in 2 (skin infection: n = 1; mastoiditis: n = 1) and immunoglobulin deficiency without infectious complications in 1 (this patient received 4 rituximab courses of 750 mg/m² × 2).

Rituximab efficacy. Six patients were relapse-free during rituximab treatment (patients 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, and 15) (table 2; figure 1). In these 6 relapse-free patients, the rate of use of other immune therapies during rituximab (corticosteroids: n = 4; IVIg: n = 2; plasma exchange: n = 0; second-line immune therapies: n = 0) was similar to the rate in the other 10 patients (corticosteroids: n = 9; IVIg: n = 4; plasma exchange: n = 4; mycophenolate mofetil + cyclophosphamide: n = 1; azathioprine: n = 1). In the 10 relapsing patients, a total of 21 events occurred during rituximab treatment (mean 2.1, median 1.5, range 1–5) (table 2). Relapses occurred a mean of 9.1 months (median 8.1, range 1.2–27.8) after the last rituximab course (figure 2A). There was a statistically significant reduction between...
There was a statistically significant reduction between pre- and post-rituximab ARR when first events were included (p = 0.003) or excluded (p = 0.014) (table 2). There was also a significant reduction in the ARR in the year after rituximab initiation compared to the year before (p = 0.002).

### CD19 count monitoring and repopulation on rituximab.

During the total 42.6 years of cohort rituximab treatment, a total of 196 CD19 counts were measured (mean 12.2 per patient, median 9, range 1–36). All patients had documented B cell depletion after at least 1 rituximab course. In the 31 rituximab courses (in 13 patients) with documented B cell depletion followed by repopulation, the mean time from rituximab administration to the last demonstrated depleted CD19 count was 4.5 months (median 5.1, range 0.9–8.7), and the mean time to the first demonstrated repopulated CD19 count was 6.8 months (median 6.7, range 2.7–12.2). The 2 shortest times to repopulation occurred 2.7 and 2.9 months after rituximab (375 mg/m² × 1 and 375 mg/m² × 2, respectively) in 2 different patients. We observed notable interpatient variability in the time to B cell repopulation and some intrapatient variability (figure 2B). The mean time to repopulation in the first rituximab courses (mean 7.4 months, median 7.2, range 3.6–12.2; calculated in 8 courses) was similar to that in subsequent courses (mean 6.7 months, median 6.8, range 2.7–11.2; calculated in 23 courses). Time to B cell repopulation was faster in the younger patients (18 courses in 5 patients with adequate data; age range 8.2–11.7 years at rituximab initiation) than in the older patients (12 courses in 7 patients; age range 13.3–15.9 years at rituximab initiation) (mean 5.9 vs 8.1 months, median 5.6 vs 8.5 months). Where adequate data were available (n = 10 courses), once B cells repopulated over the threshold of 10⁷ cells/L, B cell counts never redepleted spontaneously. In contrast, according to available data (n = 9 courses), only 22% of CD19 values ≥10⁷ cells/L were followed by repopulated CD19 values ≥10⁷ cells/L within 1 month.

### CD19 count and relationship to relapses.

The 21 relapses that occurred in 10 children during rituximab treatment are detailed in table e-1 at Neurology.org/nn (adequate CD19 data in 20 of 21 relapses). Most of the events (13 of 20) occurred with B cell repopulation and are defined as “repopulation” relapses. In these 13 “repopulation” relapses, the mean CD19 value at relapse was 192.3 × 10⁶ cells/L (median 130, range 10–449), and in 4 of these 13 events the CD19 count was 10–50 × 10⁶ cells/L.
(10, 16, 37, and $40 \times 10^6$ cells/L). Of the 13 "repopulation" relapses, there was a lack of monitoring (defined as $\leq 1$ CD19 count in the 4 months preceding the relapse) in 10, a delay in redosing (defined as $\geq 10$ days between detection of repopulation and rituximab redosing) during which the relapse occurred in 5, and there was no inadequate monitoring or delayed redosing in 2.

The remaining 7 clinical events occurred in 2 patients: 3 relapses in patient 2 (rituximab 750 mg/m$^2 \times 2$ infusions 2 weeks apart) occurred despite B cell depletion and were defined as "depletion" relapses, whereas the 4 relapses in patient 16 (rituximab 1,000 mg $\times 2$ infusions 4 weeks apart) occurred with documented persistent nondepleted CD19 counts (mean 6.2 CD19 counts/relapse, median 6, range 2–11) and were defined as "depletion failure" relapses. Examples of relapse freedom after treatment and of "repopulation," "depletion," and "depletion failure" relapses are presented in figures 3 and e-1.

Outcome. At a mean follow-up of 6.1 years from disease onset (median 5.1 years, range 3.6–13.6), mean EDSS score was 2.4 (median 2.5, range 0–7.5), and no ongoing problems (EDSS 0) were reported in 5 patients. There was a trend of worse EDSS scores at follow-up in the patients who had more relapses during the disease course, but this was not statistically significant ($r = 0.49$, $p = 0.051$). The most common neurologic problem at follow-up was reduced visual acuity, reported in 10 of 16 cases; in 6 of these 10 patients visual acuity was severely reduced (worse eye with maximal visual acuity corrected less than 20/200). Pyramidal signs in the lower limbs were reported in 2 of 16 cases, upper limb involvement in 0 of 16, and bowel or bladder impairment in 1 of 16.

DISCUSSION We retrospectively studied 16 children with NMO treated with $\geq 2$ rituximab courses with the aim of optimizing rituximab monitoring and
Figure 3  Summary figure exemplifying 4 different types of response to rituximab treatment observed in our patients

A. Relapse freedom

B. Relapse freedom

C. Repopulation relapses

D. Repopulation relapses

E. Depletion relapses

F. Depletion failure relapses

Relapse freedom with rituximab (RTX) (A, B), occurrence of relapses with a repopulated B cell count ("repopulation" relapses; C, D), occurrence of relapses with a depleted B cell count ("depletion" relapses; E), occurrence of relapses in association with failure to reach B cell depletion ("depletion failure" relapses; F). (A) Relapse freedom (no relapses during rituximab): rituximab redosing after B cell repopulation (patient 14). (B) Relapse freedom (no relapses during rituximab): rituximab redosing before B cell repopulation (patient 10). (C) "Repopulation" relapses (relapses with B cell repopulation): repopulation was detected only at the time of the relapse (third and fourth relapses); subsequent rituximab courses were administrated after the relapse (second and third rituximab courses) (patient 4). (D) "Repopulation" relapses (relapses with B cell repopulation): repopulation was noticed at CD19 count monitoring and rituximab was administered, but clinical relapse occurred a few days after rituximab, before depletion was achieved (<1 month from RTX) (patient 5). (E) "Depletion" relapses (relapses despite B cell depletion in

Continued
redosing to prevent relapses. This represents the largest reported therapeutic study of pediatric NMO.

Confirming published literature, there was a female predominance in our cohort, and most of the clinical events were monosymptomatic TM, ON, and brainstem events. Our patients had a high relapse rate and a long disease course before rituximab initiation. Fifteen of the 16 patients were NMO-IgG positive, although the single MOG-IgG-positive patient had 2 events in the 3 months preceding rituximab initiation and 1 relapse during rituximab, suggesting a highly relapsing disease. Although long-term data regarding MOG-IgG-associated disease are lacking, early reports suggest that MOG-IgG-associated NMOSD is more reversible and less severe and carries less risk of permanent disability compared to NMO-IgG-associated NMOSD.20,22

All patients received immunosuppressive therapies before rituximab: all received IV methylprednisolone and oral steroids, half received IVIg, half received plasma exchange, and 62.5% were given other second-line immune therapies before rituximab. Rituximab was generally initiated after ≥2 events, although it was started after the first event in 3 cases. Overall, our cohort likely represents a more severe end of the pediatric NMO spectrum.

In our cohort, the protocols of rituximab induction, redosing, and monitoring were heterogeneous, reflecting the multicenter nature of our cohort and the lack of guidelines and consensus opinion. Rituximab was redosed at a mean of 7.9 months, although with considerable variability (range 2.2–28.3 months). Redosing occurred for different reasons, including occurrence of relapses, detection of B cell repopulation, or, more rarely, planned redosing (figures 3 and e-1).

Rituximab treatment was relatively well tolerated with no major complications in 42.6-year cohort treatment. There was evidence of efficacy in our cohort; 6 of the patients were relapse-free during treatment (figures 3, A and B and e-1). There was a significant reduction in ARR using all measures, although it is important to note that the ARR may decline during the course of disease regardless of treatment.23 The use of other immune therapies was similar in the 6 relapse-free patients compared to the other patients, suggesting that the lack of relapses was not due to other concomitant therapies administered with rituximab.

The clinical events during rituximab occurred a mean of 9.1 months after the last rituximab course, although the timing of relapses was very widely distributed.

We chose a CD19 count of $10 \times 10^6$ cells/L as a threshold for B cell repopulation, as previously used,16,20 partly because absolute values (rather than percentages) would allow adequate comparison across centers. The observation that 4 of the 13 “repopulation” relapses in our cohort occurred during early repopulation (10–50 $\times 10^6$ cells/L) confirms the clinical validity of 10 $\times 10^6$ cells/L as a threshold. We also observed that once B cells repopulated beyond 10 $\times 10^6$ cells/L, CD19 counts continued to rise and there was no spontaneous return to B cell redepletion.

We confirmed a relationship between B cell repopulation and relapses. Most relapses occurred with CD19 repopulation, and only 1 patient had relapses with depleted CD19 counts, confirming that depletion appears to be protective in most patients. In most of the 13 “repopulation” relapses, CD19 monitoring was inadequate and B cell repopulation went unnoticed until subsequent clinical relapse. In 5 of the “repopulation” relapses, there was delayed rituximab redosing after detection of B cell repopulation and relapses occurred while waiting to admit the patient for redosing. Furthermore, B cell depletion can take up to 1 month after rituximab administration,24 allowing for a “window of vulnerability” for relapses (as shown in figure 3D). The remaining 7 relapses occurred in 2 patients, defined as “depletion” relapse in one patient (figure 3E) and “depletion failure” relapse in the other (figure 3F). Therefore, only 1 of the 16 patients (patient 2) had relapses despite adequate monitoring and documented B cell depletion and can therefore be defined as having true rituximab failure. The reason for the different response to rituximab in these 2 patients with “depletion” and “depletion failure” relapses is not clear, although some investigators have suggested that B cell activating factor of the tumor necrosis factor family may be relevant.20,24–26 Some studies in adult patients have shown a relationship of anti-AQP4 antibodies with B cell status and clinical relapses,11,27,28 although others have not found a convincing relationship.20 Unfortunately, longitudinal anti-AQP4 antibodies were not available in our cohort.

In light of the above considerations on the relationship between B cell repopulation and relapses, understanding the timing of repopulation after rituximab is critical for preventing relapses. The mean time for B cell repopulation in our cohort was between 4.5 (mean time of last depletion) and 6.8 months (mean time of first repopulation) after the last rituximab course. However, repopulation as early as
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Figure 3 legend, continued:
the last 3 relapses (patient 2). (F). “Depletion failure” relapses (relapses associated with failure to reach B cell depletion in the first, second, and third rituximab courses). In this patient, B cell depletion was achieved in subsequent rituximab courses (total 9 courses; same rituximab regimen used in all the courses, 1,000 mg × 2). The figure shows only the first 5 courses; no relapses occurred subsequently.
2.7 months post-rituximab and persistent B cell depletion up to 8.7 months were observed, suggesting large interpatient variability in CD19 count effects (figure 2B), similar to other published data. In contrast, the intrapatient variability appeared to be smaller, implying a relative predictability of B cell repopulation in individuals, which will help monitoring. We noted that the shortest time to repopulation occurred with the lowest rituximab doses and the longest with the highest doses, as previously observed, although other variables likely play a role in B cell repopulation. We also observed that younger patients repopulated faster than older patients.

In our study, we observed that genuine treatment failure with rituximab occurred in only 1 patient, whereas relapses were otherwise attributable to the challenges associated with monitoring and redosing. We confirm that rituximab efficacy is associated with CD19 depletion, and our data suggest that the detection of repopulation over 10 × 10⁹ cells/L should alert the clinician to the likely possibility of further B cell rise and relapse risk. Considering the significant variability observed in the time to B cell repopulation, further efforts should be made to optimize rituximab monitoring. A possible individualized strategy to minimize relapses involves rigorous CD19 monitoring (i.e., monthly, especially after the third month), particularly during first courses, and rapid redosing on repopulation detection. Given the latency of B cell depletion after rituximab infusion, there is a risk of relapse during this repopulated period, especially when there is delay in redosing.

In view of this, an alternative strategy involves planned rituximab redosing at regular intervals, before B cell repopulation occurs, as previously described and shown in figure 3B, which may reduce the relapse risk but will result in increased therapy cost. As shown in figure 2B, there is significant variability in B cell repopulation between patients, including early repopulation (3–6 months) even for higher dose regimens (375 mg/m² × 4 and 1,000 mg/m² × 2). Therefore, planned redosing would need to be at short intervals (3–4 months) to minimize the chance of B cell repopulation.

The retrospective design, the lack of standardization, and the relatively small number of patients are the main limitations of our study. However, we have confirmed rituximab efficacy, demonstrated challenges in monitoring, and provided data on B cell repopulation. This will improve redosing of rituximab in children with NMO and other serious autoimmune disorders of the CNS.
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