

Josep Dalmau, MD, PhD, Editor, *Neurology*<sup>®</sup>: *Neuroimmunology & Neuroinflammation*

## Importance of clinical observations

*Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* March 2018 vol. 5 no. 2 e442. doi:10.1212/NXI.0000000000000442

Clinical case reports can be powerful and informative; they can suggest novel hypotheses, identify gaps of knowledge, and provide suggestions for improvement. In this issue of *Neurology*<sup>®</sup> *Neuroimmunology & Neuroinflammation*, Hottinger et al.<sup>1</sup> report a fascinating case with interesting implications. The authors describe a 71-year-old woman with metastatic small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) who developed anti-Hu-associated paraneoplastic encephalitis 4 days after receiving treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors (nivolumab and ipilimumab). Considering that this disorder is mediated by cytotoxic T cells against a family of onconeural antigens (Hu proteins), the authors reasoned that natalizumab would block the migration of onconeural-specific T cells across the blood-brain barrier preventing neuronal damage, while not affecting T-cell activity against the systemic cancer. Indeed, after treatment with natalizumab, the patient showed substantial improvement of the neurologic disorder as well as a durable oncologic response. In addition to several interesting points raised by the authors, the case is remarkable for the following reasons: (1) it strongly supports the concept that anti-Hu-associated paraneoplastic symptoms are predominantly mediated by cytotoxic T-cell mechanisms. Although this syndrome develops in association with antibodies that are excellent biomarkers of the paraneoplastic disorder, the underlying (and still not well understood) mechanisms are predominantly dependent on T cells. The most powerful evidence of this comes from autopsy studies demonstrating neuronophagic nodules of T cells along with extensive neuronal loss and glial proliferation.<sup>2</sup> Steroids, plasma exchange, or IV immunoglobulin may partially ameliorate symptoms but rarely stop progression of the disease.<sup>3</sup> These features are in contrast to those of neurologic diseases mediated by antibodies, such as myasthenia gravis or several types of encephalitis associated with antibodies against cell surface proteins<sup>4</sup>; (2) this case and previous reports of patients who developed paraneoplastic syndromes after treatment with immune-mediated checkpoint inhibitors<sup>5</sup> suggest the occurrence of silent or subclinical onconeural-specific cytotoxic T cells that can potentially cause severe neurologic dysfunction if unleashed by drugs that restore their cytotoxic potential to attack the cancer. Furthermore, one would expect this complication to be more frequent in patients with neuroendocrine tumors or tumors that express a wide variety of neuronal proteins, such as SCLC; and (3) the observation by Hottinger et al. deserves further investigation and if confirmed, prospective clinical trials assessing natalizumab as a potential treatment of anti-Hu and other similarly devastating paraneoplastic syndromes should be considered.

In another study, Guerrier et al.<sup>6</sup> examined whether changes in subsets of B cells and the profile of cytokine production were distinctly associated with specific stages of MS. A total of 89 patients with MS at different disease stages and 36 healthy participants were included in the study, which examined peripheral blood B-cell subset distributions and the IL6/IL10 ratio assessed by flow cytometry. The authors found that an increase in the IL6/IL10 ratio in patients with radiologically isolated syndrome (RIS) and clinically isolated syndrome (CIS) was significantly associated with disease activity within the following 6 months. This cytokine imbalance resulted from a decrease of IL10-producing B cells rather than an increase of IL6-producing cells, which were unaffected. Alteration in the production of IL10 in patients with RIS or CIS depended predominantly on transitional B cells and was unrelated to type I interferon secretion. These features



### MORE ONLINE

📄 **Editor Summary**  
[NPub.org/N2/edsum](http://NPub.org/N2/edsum)

Funding information and disclosures are provided at the end of the article. Full disclosure form information provided by the authors is available with the full text of this article at [Neurology.org/NN](http://Neurology.org/NN).

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND), which permits downloading and sharing the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

were not identified in the baseline samples of patients with relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS). Finally, the authors did not find alterations in the distribution of B-cell subsets (transitional, mature, naive, and memory) at any phase of the disease, with the exception of the double negative (IgD-/CD27-) B-cell subset, which was overrepresented in patients with CIS and RRMS. These cells are described as exhausted B cells in a chronic activation status but of unclear functional properties. Overall, the findings of this study, which need a replication cohort, suggest that cytokine imbalance at early stages of the disease may assist in identifying those patients who will have disease progression in the ensuing months and will need treatment.

In another study, Bucelli and Pestronk<sup>7</sup> performed a retrospective chart and pathology review of 57 consecutive patients with pathologic findings characterized by damage to perimysial connective tissue and muscle fiber necrosis more prominent near the perimysium. The authors coined the term “immune myopathies with perimysial pathology” (IMPP) to group several known clinical and serological disorders such as inflammatory myopathies occurring with antibodies against aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase or hydroxymethylglutarylCoA reductase. The clinical and pathologic features of this complex group of disorders were then compared with those of 20 patients with dermatomyositis with vascular pathology. Patients with IMPP were more likely to have interstitial lung disease (ILD), Raynaud phenomenon, mechanic’s hand, arthralgias, a sustained response to immunotherapy, and less frequently a concurrent cancer. In addition, patients with IMPP more frequently had Jo1 (histidyl-tRNA synthetase) antibodies and SSA/SSA52 antibodies and less frequently antinuclear antibodies. The findings are not surprising, considering the different clinical/serological phenotypes that encompass IMPP, but the authors argue that this pathology also occurs in patients without myositis-associated antibodies, and therefore, its recognition has important clinical implications such as identifying patients at higher risk of ILD. The authors acknowledge that the study is retrospective, spanning a 24-year period, and could not guarantee that diagnostic testing, including myositis-associated antibodies, was uniform

across all subjects. Prospective studies with comprehensive pathologic and serological studies are needed to confirm whether this pathologic classification offers substantial advantages to the current diagnostic studies including autoantibody determination.

In addition to these studies, the March issue of N2 contains other interesting articles that I hope will draw your attention.

### Study funding

No targeted funding reported.

### Disclosure

J. Dalmau is the editor of *Neurology*<sup>®</sup> *Neuroimmunology & Neuroinflammation*; is on the editorial board of *Neurology UpToDate*; holds patents for and receives royalties from Ma2 autoantibody test, NMDA receptor autoantibody test, GABA (B) receptor autoantibody test, GABA(A) receptor autoantibody test, DPPX autoantibody test, and IgLON5 autoantibody test; and receives research support from Euroimmun, NIH, Fundació CELLEX, and Instituto Carlos III (CIBERER and Fondo de Investigaciones Sanitarias). Full disclosure form information provided by the authors is available with the full text of this article at [Neurology.org/NN](http://Neurology.org/NN).

### References

1. Hottinger AF, De Micheli R, Guido V, Karampera A, Hagmann P, Du Pasquier RA. Natalizumab controls immune checkpoint inhibitor induced limbic encephalitis. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2017;5:e439. doi: 10.1212/NXI.0000000000000439.
2. Bien CG, Vincent A, Barnett MH, et al. Immunopathology of autoantibody-associated encephalitides: clues for pathogenesis. *Brain* 2012;135:1622–1638.
3. Keime-Guibert F, Graus F, Fleury A, et al. Treatment of paraneoplastic neurological syndromes with antineuronal antibodies (anti-Hu, anti-Yo) with a combination of immunoglobulins, cyclophosphamide, and methylprednisolone. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2000;68:479–482.
4. Dalmau J, Geis C, Graus F. Autoantibodies to synaptic receptors and neuronal cell surface proteins in autoimmune diseases of the central nervous system. *Physiol Rev* 2017;97:839–887.
5. Williams TJ, Benavides DR, Patrice KA, et al. Association of autoimmune encephalitis with combined immune checkpoint inhibitor treatment for metastatic cancer. *JAMA Neurol* 2016;73:928–933.
6. Guerrier T, Labalette M, Launay D, et al. A pro-inflammatory B cell profile in the early phases of multiple sclerosis predicts an active disease. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2017;5:e431. doi: 10.1212/NXI.0000000000000431.
7. Bucelli RC, Pestronk A. Immune myopathies with perimysial pathology (IMPP): clinical and laboratory features. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2017;5:e434. doi: 10.1212/NXI.0000000000000434.

# Neurology<sup>®</sup> Neuroimmunology & Neuroinflammation

## Importance of clinical observations

Josep Dalmau

*Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2018;5;

DOI 10.1212/NXI.0000000000000442

**This information is current as of February 2, 2018**

<b>Updated Information &amp; Services</b>	including high resolution figures, can be found at: <a href="http://nn.neurology.org/content/5/2/e442.full.html">http://nn.neurology.org/content/5/2/e442.full.html</a>
<b>References</b>	This article cites 7 articles, 1 of which you can access for free at: <a href="http://nn.neurology.org/content/5/2/e442.full.html##ref-list-1">http://nn.neurology.org/content/5/2/e442.full.html##ref-list-1</a>
<b>Permissions &amp; Licensing</b>	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: <a href="http://nn.neurology.org/misc/about.xhtml#permissions">http://nn.neurology.org/misc/about.xhtml#permissions</a>
<b>Reprints</b>	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: <a href="http://nn.neurology.org/misc/addir.xhtml#reprintsus">http://nn.neurology.org/misc/addir.xhtml#reprintsus</a>

*Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* is an official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published since April 2014, it is an open-access, online-only, continuous publication journal. Copyright Copyright © 2018 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the American Academy of Neurology.. All rights reserved. Online ISSN: 2332-7812.

