Antibodies to myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein in bilateral and recurrent optic neuritis
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ABSTRACT

Objective: We examined a cohort of adults with aquaporin-4 (AQP4) antibody-negative neuromyelitis optica/neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMO/NMOSD) for antibodies to myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG).

Methods: We performed a flow cytometry cell-based assay using live human lentivirus-transduced cells expressing full-length surface MOG. Serum was tested in 23 AQP4 antibody-negative NMO/NMOSD patients with bilateral and/or recurrent optic neuritis (BON, n = 11), longitudinally extensive transverse myelitis (LETM, n = 10), and sequential BON and LETM (n = 2), as well as in patients with multiple sclerosis (MS, n = 76) and controls (n = 52).

Results: MOG antibodies were detected in 9/23 AQP4 antibody-negative patients with NMO/NMOSD, compared to 1/76 patients with MS and 0/52 controls (p < 0.001). MOG antibodies were detected in 8/11 patients with BON, 0/10 patients with LETM, and 1/2 patients with sequential BON and LETM. Six of 9 MOG antibody-positive patients had a relapsing course. MOG antibody-positive patients had prominent optic disc swelling and were more likely to have a rapid response to steroid therapy and relapse on steroid cessation than MOG antibody-negative patients (p = 0.034 and p = 0.029, respectively). While 8/9 MOG antibody-positive patients had good follow-up visual acuity, one experienced sustained visual impairment, 3 had retinal nerve fiber layer thinning, and one had residual spinal disability.

Conclusions: MOG antibodies have a strong association with BON and may be a useful clinical biomarker. MOG antibody-associated BON is a relapsing disorder that is frequently steroid responsive and often steroid dependent. Failure to recognize the disorder early and institute immunotherapy promptly may be associated with sustained impairment.

Classification of evidence: This study provides Class II evidence that MOG antibodies are associated with AQP4 antibody-negative BON (sensitivity 69%, 95% confidence interval [CI] 42%-87%; specificity 99%, 95% CI 93.7%-99.8%).

Neuromyelitis optica (NMO) is a demyelinating disorder that preferentially affects the optic nerves and spinal cord and results in severe disability. The identification of immunoglobulin (Ig) G antibodies targeting aquaporin-4 (AQP4) in patients with NMO has distinguished this condition from multiple sclerosis (MS). The term NMO spectrum disorder (NMOSD) encompasses patients with more limited forms of NMO. Despite the refinement of detection methods,
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12%–30% of patients with NMO/NMOSD remain AQP4 antibody–negative.6–8 Investigating this cohort has important diagnostic and therapeutic implications.

Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG) is a component of myelin and an antigen target in CNS demyelination. Immunization with MOG induces experimental autoimmune encephalitis, and MOG antibodies contribute to CNS demyelination in animal models.9–13 MOG is expressed by oligodendrocytes on the external surface of myelin, providing an accessible antigenic target for circulating autoantibodies.

Using cell-based assays, antibodies against native MOG have been identified in 20%–40% of pediatric patients with acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM), optic neuritis (ON), and relapsing demyelination disorders, including NMO/NMOSD.14–18 In contrast, the clinical relevance of MOG antibodies in adults is unclear, as only a minority of patients with MS and NMO/NMOSD are seropositive.16,18–24

Herein, we provide evidence that MOG antibodies are a clinical biomarker of bilateral and/or recurrent optic neuritis (BON) in adults and describe the characteristic clinical course, response to therapy, and visual outcomes of this condition.

METHODS Patients and controls. Patients. We retrospectively investigated 23 patients who presented to neuroimmunology and neuro-ophthalmology clinics at 4 tertiary referral centers in Sydney, Australia (2004–2014) with AQP4 antibody–negative NMO/NMOSD (12 female; median age 38 years, range 17–59). These patients did not satisfy the 2010 McDonald diagnostic criteria for MS.25 They presented with BON (n = 11), longitudinally extensive transverse myelitis (LETM, n = 10), or sequential BON and LETM (n = 2). All patients with LETM had longitudinally extensive disease, with spinal cord involvement greater than 3 vertebral segments in length on MRI. The 2 patients with sequential BON and LETM satisfied the revised diagnostic criteria for NMO but were AQP4 antibody–negative. Nine of 11 patients with BON had simultaneous BON at least once, +1/− additional episodes of unilateral ON, while 2/11 had recurrent episodes of unilateral ON sequentially involving both eyes. In total, among the 11 patients with BON, there were 30 ON episodes (18 unilateral and 12 simultaneous and bilateral). All 23 patients were negative for NMO-IgG antibodies tested by indirect immunofluorescence on monkey cerebrum, cerebellum, and stomach, and rat kidney,1,2 as well as for AQP4 antibodies by cell-based assay using the M23 isoform (Euroimmun, Lübeck, Germany). Twelve of 23 AQP4 antibody–negative patients with NMO/NMOSD had a relapsing presentation (6/11 BON, 4/10 LETM, 2/2 sequential BON and LETM). The relapses in the 6 patients with BON were either recurrent unilateral ON or BON, while the 4 patients with LETM had only spinal relapses. The 2 patients with sequential BON and LETM had relapses involving both the optic nerves and spinal cord. The breakdown of diagnoses in all patients with BON and/or LETM who presented to the collaborating centers is presented in appendix e-1 at Neurology.org/nn.

Stored acute serum (−80°C) taken during the first presentation (n = 13), within 1 month of a relapse (n = 5), or during remission (n = 5) was tested. The serum of 16/23 patients was collected on no treatment. Of these, 9/16 patients had samples obtained at initial presentation, 3 within a month of an acute relapse, and 4 while in remission.

At sample collection, 4 patients had completed a course of pulsed steroids within the preceding 3 months, and 3 were experiencing relapses on long-term steroids and mycophenolate mofetil.

The AQP4 antibody–negative NMO/NMOSD cohort was investigated for infectious, inflammatory, and autoimmune differential diagnoses (tables e-1 and e-2). 3T MRI brain (n = 21) and whole spine (n = 17) scans were reviewed by neuroradiologists. Steroid responsiveness was defined as a greater than 50% improvement in visual acuity or Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) score within 24 hours of commencing IV (intravenous) steroids, and a relapse on steroid cessation was defined as acute worsening within 48 hours of cessation of IV steroids.

The sera of 76 patients with clinically definite MS as defined by the revised McDonald criteria25 were also tested (59 female; median age 43 years, range 22–71). These patients were classified as having relapsing-remitting (n = 68), secondary progressive (n = 6), or primary progressive (n = 2) MS. Serum was collected pretreatment (n = 23) or while on therapy including interferon β-1a (n = 12), interferon β-1b (n = 9), glatiramer acetate (n = 5), natalizumab (n = 15), fingolimod (n = 11), or alemtuzumab (n = 1).

Controls. Fifty-two adult control patients (35 female; median age 55 years, range 24–79) were tested, including patients with other neurologic diseases (epilepsy, Parkinson disease, and peripheral neuropathy; n = 20) as well as healthy controls (n = 32).

Visual outcomes. All patients received a visual functional system score (VFSS) based on their visual acuity (rated from 0 to 6) during their acute presentation and at latest follow-up (median follow-up 24 months, mean 20 months, range 2–48 months). This score was based on the VFSS from the EDSS26 (table e-3).

Visual field sensitivity was assessed using the central 30-2 program on a Humphrey Visual Field Analyzer (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, California) at acute presentation and at latest follow-up. The mean deviation (MD) was obtained as a measure of overall visual field sensitivity. A negative MD corresponds to a loss in sensitivity, with normal values ranging from 0 to −3 dB.27 As the visual field deficit increases, the MD value becomes progressively negative. We graded the sensitivity loss in each eye as mild (MD greater than −3.0 dB but less than −6.0 dB), moderate (MD greater than −6.0 dB but less than −20.0 dB), or severe (MD greater than −20.0 dB), as described in the Optic Neuritis Treatment Trial.28 We assigned scores of 0 (normal), 1 (mild), 2 (moderate), and 3 (severe) to sensitivity loss as determined by MD grades at acute presentation and follow-up (median follow-up 4 months, mean 5.4 months, range 1–13 months).

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) images of the peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) were acquired using a Stratus OCT (Carl Zeiss Meditec, software version 6.00.599) using the Octic Disc Cube protocol. OCT performed at acute presentation and at latest follow-up (median follow-up 4 months, mean 4.2 months, range 1.5–7 months) was evaluated for the average RNFL thickness for each eye and was compared to age-appropriate normative data provided by the manufacturer. Values above this range reflect swelling, while values below this range reflect thinning of the
MOG antibodies and BON in adults.

RESULTS

antibodies (85/86). Patients (MS and isolated LETM patients) without MOG BON positive for MOG antibody (9/13). Specificity was calculated from the proportion of patients with healthy controls (figure 1, B–D; p < 0.0001). None of the MOG antibody–positive patients demonstrated IgM reactivity (figure e-1, appendix e-3). Eight of 11 patients with BON were MOG antibody–positive, compared to 0/10 patients with LETM and 1/2 patients with sequential BON and LETM (figure 1E, table 1). None of the MOG antibody–positive patients had a previous episode of ADEM.

Clinical phenotyping of MOG antibody–positive patients. MOG antibody–positive patients were more often female and younger, often had a preceding infectious prodrome, and were more likely to relapse than MOG antibody–negative patients (table 1, not significant).

The AQP4 antibody–negative NMO/NMOSD cohort as a whole frequently had elevated markers of inflammation or autoimmunity (table e-1), but there were no significant differences between the MOG antibody–positive and –negative patients. The presence of oligoclonal bands in the CSF was uncommon, and patients did not fulfill the revised McDonald criteria\(^\text{25}\) for MS in either group. All MOG antibody–positive patients had brain MRIs that did not fulfill the revised McDonald criteria. Of these, 7 had MRIs performed during acute presentation with optic nerve gadoolinium enhancement. One MOG antibody–positive patient had large enhancing cerebral lesions that resolved rapidly with steroids. This patient’s imaging did not fulfill the revised McDonald criteria for MS.

Eight of 9 MOG antibody–positive patients had BON (table 2), with the ninth patient demonstrating sequential BON and LETM (figure 1E). Six of 9 had a relapsing course (median episodes 2, range 1–5), although this was not a statistically significant difference compared to the seronegative group. All 9 MOG antibody–positive patients had prominent optic disc swelling, defined as papillitis or optic nerve head swelling visible on fundoscopy at acute presentation. In 6/9 this disc swelling rapidly resolved with steroid therapy. MOG antibody–positive patients were more likely to be rapidly steroid responsive and to relapse on steroid cessation, compared to MOG antibody–negative patients (table 1, p = 0.034 and p = 0.029, respectively). The treatment of all MOG antibody–positive patients is outlined in table 2. The median follow-up was 28 months (range 6–120).

One of 76 patients with MS was MOG antibody–positive. This patient was a 55-year-old Caucasian woman with clinical and radiologic findings consistent with relapsing-remitting MS and no previous ADEM or ON episodes. Notably, she had a strongly positive antineuronal antibody titer of 1:2,560.

Persisting MOG antibody seropositivity and relapsing BON. We determined the maximum serum dilution required for a sample to still have a ΔMFI above the threshold. Seven MOG antibody–positive patients
were tested at serum dilutions ranging from 1:50 to 1:2,000. Six of 7 remained positive at 1:100, 5/7 at 1:200, and 4/7 at 1:400. Only one patient remained positive at dilutions of 1:1,000 and 1:2,000. MOG antibody-positive patients can have variably high titers (figure 2A).

We obtained serial samples in 4 MOG antibody–positive patients with a relapsing course (median time between samples 22.5 months, range 4–72 months) (figure 2, B–E). Three of them had at least one episode of simultaneous BON, with one having additional unilateral ON (figure 2, B, C, and E). One patient (figure 2D) had recurrent episodes of unilateral ON affecting both eyes. ΔMFI values showed an overall decline in all patients but remained positive in 3 patients despite treatment and clinical remission. Patient 4 (figure 2E) had an initial presentation with BON followed within a fortnight by LETM. While his visual recovery was satisfactory, his spinal disease was extensive and debilitating, with a modified Rankin Scale (mRS) score of 5. With aggressive immunosuppression and intensive rehabilitation he made a slow recovery but remained MOG antibody–positive at 8-month follow-up. He is currently independently mobile with residual sphincter dysfunction and a spastic gait (mRS 2).

MOG antibody–associated BON and visual outcomes. Most MOG antibody–positive patients had significant visual impairment during the acute episode, with 6/9 (67%) having a VFSS of 5 or 6 (figure 3A). While 8/9 patients had good visual acuity at follow-up with a VFSS of 0–2, one patient (patient 7, table 2) had a significant
residual deficit with a VFSS of 5 (figure 3A) (median follow-up interval 24 months, mean 20 months, range 2–48 months).

Visual fields were assessed in 5 MOG antibody–positive patients (10 eyes) at acute presentation and follow-up (median follow-up 4 months, range 1–13 months) (figure 3, B and C). Seven of 10 eyes had a moderate or severe visual field deficit at acute presentation. Follow-up demonstrated an improvement in all eyes, with the MD approaching the normal range (figure 3B) and visual field deficits scoring as normal or mildly affected in 8/10 eyes (figure 3C).

We reviewed RNFL thickness as measured by OCT during an acute episode of ON and at follow-up. While acute ON can result in increased peripapillary RNFL thickness (figure 3, D–F), RNFL thickness returns toward the normal range with clinical resolution (figure 3D). Three patients demonstrated evidence of RNFL thinning (figure 3, E and G), suggesting axonal loss and irreversible damage. Patient 7 (table 2, appendix e-4) experienced 5 relapses in a 4-year period. Delayed diagnosis and initiation of immunotherapy were possible contributors to a poor follow-up VFSS and significant RNFL thinning in this patient.

Visual outcomes in the MOG antibody–negative patients with BON are outlined in appendix e-5. Together these findings suggest that visual acuity, visual field deficits, and RNFL thickness improve in the majority of patients with MOG antibody–associated BON; however, some patients exhibit sustained visual impairment.

**DISCUSSION** Using a flow cytometry cell-based assay, we found that antibodies targeting human native surface full-length MOG may be a clinical biomarker in adults with AQP4 antibody–negative BON. We provide clinical characterization of this condition as a relapsing disorder, frequently associated with prominent disc swelling, that is steroid sensitive and often steroid dependent. While early recognition and institution of therapy can result in a favorable outcome, MOG antibody-associated BON has the potential to result in long-term visual impairment.

In recent years, microscopy or flow cytometry cell-based assays have been crucial in establishing MOG as an unequivocal antibody target in human demyelination.11 In particular, flow cytometry has emerged as a sensitive detection method,6,14,16,21,32 with recent confirmation of the surface expression of the dominant MOG epitopes.33

We describe that surface MOG IgG antibodies have a strong association with BON in adults.

---

**Table 1** Clinical comparison of MOG antibody-positive and -negative patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical and investigation findings</th>
<th>MOG antibody-positive (n = 9)</th>
<th>MOG antibody-negative (n = 14)</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (female)</td>
<td>6/9 (67)</td>
<td>6/14 (43)</td>
<td>0.492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset, median (range)</td>
<td>36 (22–52)</td>
<td>43 (17–59)</td>
<td>0.380b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian ethnicity</td>
<td>6/9 (67)</td>
<td>10/14 (71)</td>
<td>0.809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infectious prodrome</td>
<td>6/9 (67)</td>
<td>4/14 (29)</td>
<td>0.171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical presentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BON</td>
<td>8/9 (89)</td>
<td>3/14 (21.5)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LETM</td>
<td>0/9 (0)</td>
<td>10/14 (71.5)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BON and LETM</td>
<td>1/9 (11)</td>
<td>1/14 (7)</td>
<td>0.742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapsing disorder</td>
<td>6/9 (67)</td>
<td>6/14 (43)</td>
<td>0.492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of episodes, median (range)</td>
<td>2 (1–5)</td>
<td>1 (1–8)</td>
<td>0.284b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal or family history of autoimmunity</td>
<td>2/9 (22)</td>
<td>2/14 (14)</td>
<td>0.624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF lymphocytosis</td>
<td>2/9 (22)</td>
<td>7/14 (50)</td>
<td>0.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of intrathecal CSF oligoclonal bands</td>
<td>1/9 (11)</td>
<td>1/14 (7)</td>
<td>0.742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI fulfilling revised McDonald criteria25</td>
<td>0/9 (0)</td>
<td>0/14 (0)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid steroid responsec</td>
<td>6/9 (67)</td>
<td>2/14 (14)</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapse with steroid cessationd</td>
<td>4/9 (44)</td>
<td>0/14 (0)</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BON – bilateral and/or recurrent optic neuritis; LETM – longitudinally extensive transverse myelitis; MOG – myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein; NA – not applicable.

* p Values determined using χ² test with Yates correction, unless otherwise specified.

* p Values calculated using Mann-Whitney test.

c Rapid steroid response defined as a greater than 50% improvement in visual acuity or Expanded Disability Status Scale score within 24 hours of commencing IV steroids.

d Relapse with steroid cessation was defined as acute worsening within 48 hours of cessation of IV steroids.

Data are n/total tested (%), unless otherwise specified.
### Table 2 Clinical characterization of MOG antibody–positive patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age at first episode/sex</th>
<th>Clinical events</th>
<th>Visual functional system score at onset</th>
<th>Spinal symptoms in absence of spinal lesion on imaging</th>
<th>No. of optic neuritis episodes: total (unilateral/bilateral)</th>
<th>Treatment regimen</th>
<th>Rapid steroid response</th>
<th>Relapse on steroid cessation</th>
<th>Disease duration and follow-up, mo ( a )</th>
<th>Visual functional system score at latest follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient 1</td>
<td>26/F</td>
<td>BON</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lhermitte phenomenon</td>
<td>2 (1/1)</td>
<td>IV MP × 2 courses, oral prednisone</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 2</td>
<td>32/M</td>
<td>BON</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2 (0/2)</td>
<td>IV MP × 2 courses, oral prednisone</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 3</td>
<td>52/F</td>
<td>BON</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lhermitte phenomenon, limb paresthesia</td>
<td>4 (4/0); 2 left ON and 2 right ON</td>
<td>IV MP × 2 courses</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 4</td>
<td>29/M</td>
<td>BON and LETM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NA as patient had LETM</td>
<td>1 (0/1); 1 LETM</td>
<td>IV MP × 2 courses, PE, maintenance MMF and oral prednisone</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 5</td>
<td>45/F</td>
<td>BON</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3 (2/1)</td>
<td>IV MP × 3 courses, glatiramer acetate</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 6</td>
<td>36/F</td>
<td>BON</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 (0/1)</td>
<td>IV MP</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 7( b )</td>
<td>22/M</td>
<td>BON</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bladder symptoms and lower limb paresthesia</td>
<td>5 (5/0); 3 right ON and 2 left ON</td>
<td>IFN, latest episode IV MP, maintenance MMF and oral prednisone, rituximab</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 8</td>
<td>51/F</td>
<td>BON</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sphincter dysfunction and lower limb paresthesia</td>
<td>1 (0/1)</td>
<td>No treatment</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 9( c )</td>
<td>38/F</td>
<td>BON</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Lower limb paresthesia</td>
<td>1 (0/1)</td>
<td>IV MP × 2, PE, maintenance MMF and oral prednisone</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Group summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Median (range)</th>
<th>Median (range)</th>
<th>Median total episodes (range)</th>
<th>IV MP 8/9; oral prednisone 5/9; PE 2/9; MMF 3/9; rituximab 1/9</th>
<th>Median (range); 28 (6–120)</th>
<th>Median (range); 2 (0–5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** BON = bilateral and/or recurrent optic neuritis; IFN = interferon-β; LETM = longitudinally extensive transverse myelitis; MMF = mycophenolate mofetil; MOG = myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein; IV MP = intravenous methylprednisolone; NA = not applicable; ON = optic neuritis; PE = plasma exchange.

*All patients had clinical follow-up from the time of disease onset.

\( b \) Personal history of Henoch-Schonlein purpura.

\( c \) Two first-degree relatives with type 1 diabetes, one first-degree relative with lymphocytic colitis.
Figure 2  MOG antibody seropositivity in patients with MOG antibody–associated BON

(A) Serial dilutions of MOG antibody-positive samples show differing immunoglobulin (Ig) G binding. The end point dilution where a sample remained above the positive threshold (red line) ranged from 1:50 to 1:2,000. For clarity, only 3 representative patients are shown. (B–E) Serial samples in 4 MOG antibody–positive patients are shown. A representative dot plot out of 3 experiments is shown. Red lines on the graphs represent the threshold of positivity. Black circles represent times of serum collection, arrows pointing up represent a clinical attack, arrows pointing down represent commencement of treatment. (B) Patient 1 experienced a unilateral episode of left optic neuritis (ON) (normal MRI brain) that responded rapidly to pulsed steroids; however, cessation of steroids prompted a bilateral simultaneous ON relapse requiring further treatment. There were no further relapses and a good visual outcome. The initial sample was collected during the first presentation. A serial sample collected during remission 4 years later remains positive, albeit with a reduced ΔMFI. (C) Patient 2 similarly experienced 2 episodes of BON (normal MRI brain). The first sample was collected within 1 month of his relapse; a serial sample 4 months later during remission remained seropositive. (D) Patient 3 experienced 4 episodes of recurrent unilateral ON (MRI brain normal) affecting both eyes and was treated on 2 occasions with pulsed steroids. While the first serum sample within 1 month of her fourth relapse showed elevated MOG antibodies, 6-year follow-up revealed no further ON episodes and a ΔMFI below the positive threshold at remission. (E) Patient 4 presented with sequential BON and longitudinally extensive transverse myelitis (LETM) (MRI brain normal) and received aggressive immunotherapy. While his visual acuity has improved, he continues to experience moderate visual field deficits and has residual motor and sphincter disability related to spinal involvement. Serial samples were collected at initial presentation, during his relapse, and during remission. He remains seropositive more than 8 months after presentation. Ab = antibody; BON = bilateral and/or recurrent optic neuritis; ΔMFI = mean fluorescence intensity; MMF = mycophenolate mofetil; MOG = myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein; MP = Intravenous methylprednisolone; PE = plasma exchange.
MOG antibody–associated BON has the potential to result in long-term visual impairment.

(A) Visual functional system scores for MOG antibody–positive patients taken at the time of acute presentation and at latest follow-up demonstrate that the majority of patients experienced an improvement in visual acuity at follow-up. (B) Visual field deficits in 5 patients in both left (OS) and right (OD) eyes as measured by the mean deviation also demonstrate improvement at latest follow-up and approach the normal range (gray region between red lines, 0 to −3 dB). (C) The majority of affected eyes had a moderate to severe visual field deficit at onset but experienced an improvement in these scores. However, 2 affected eyes had a moderate residual deficit at latest follow-up. (D–G) Optical coherence tomography allowed for estimation of average peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness. During an acute episode of optic neuritis, swelling results in increased RNFL thickness (F). With resolution of the attack, RNFL thickness can either return toward the normal range (shaded region) (D) or result in RNFL thinning (E and G). Ab – antibody; BON – bilateral optic neuritis; MOG – myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein.
The fact that 39% of AQP4 antibody–negative patients with NMO/NMOSD in our cohort were positive for MOG antibodies renders this a potentially useful biomarker. We detected an IgG rather than an IgM antibody response, implying this is not an acute immunologic response to injury but rather a more mature response. Seven of 9 MOG antibody–positive patients had one or more episodes of simultaneous BON, with 2 having additional episodes of unilateral ON. Two of 9 MOG antibody–positive patients had recurrent episodes of unilateral ON affecting both eyes. Our group described MOG antibodies in pediatric patients with BON, further strengthening this clinical association.34 A similar association with MOG antibody positivity and bilateral simultaneous ON was recently reported.24 MOG antibodies should be a diagnostic consideration in any patient with recurrent ON, whether they present unilaterally or bilaterally. We additionally found that prominent optic disc swelling was noted in all 9 MOG antibody–positive patients acutely; this appearance is not typical of ON related to MS and should prompt testing for MOG antibodies.35 Of interest, chronic relapsing inflammatory optic neuropathy (CRION) describes a group of patients with recurrent optic neuropathy who are largely AQP4 antibody–negative.36,37 The diagnostic criteria for CRION include relapsing disease, loss of visual function, NMO-IgG seronegativity, optic nerve contrast enhancement, response to immunosuppressive therapy, and relapse on withdrawal of therapy.37 This description is largely similar to the clinical characteristics of our MOG antibody–positive cohort, and it is possible that a subset of patients labeled as CRION may indeed have MOG antibody–associated BON.

One MOG antibody–positive patient had LETM in the context of a preceding attack of BON. This fulminant presentation of sequential BON and LETM is uncommon in AQP4 antibody–positive NMO and reminiscent of the original descriptions of Devic disease.22 A recent study23 described 9 patients with MOG antibodies, the majority of whom had transverse myelitis. All our MOG antibody–positive patients had both brain and spine MRIs performed. In our cohort, none of the patients with radiologically defined LETM had MOG antibodies. Notably, 5 of our patients with MOG antibody–associated BON did have symptoms suggestive of spinal involvement, including Lhermitte phenomenon, limb paresis, and sphincter dysfunction, despite a normal MRI spine. This suggests that cord involvement may occur without clinical or radiologic evidence of myelitis. The use of full-length human MOG in our cell-based assay as opposed to C-terminal truncated human MOG used by other groups25,28 may account for some differences in findings. In addition, 14/23 AQP4 antibody–negative patients with NMO/NMOSD in our cohort were also MOG antibody–negative, suggesting there may be other antigen targets.

Our cohort of MOG antibody–positive patients was predominantly Caucasian. This is in keeping with recent findings and contrasts with AQP4 antibody–positive patients, who are more likely to be non-Caucasian.7,8 A recent report of 16 MOG antibody–positive patients of a similar median age found that most patients were male.24 We did not find a statistically significant association with sex, age at onset, and MOG antibody status. In our cohort, the 9 MOG antibody–positive patients did not have intrathecal oligoclonal bands or radiology consistent with MS, suggesting that this condition may be an immunologic entity that is distinct from both AQP4 antibody–positive NMO and MS. While we did not test a cohort of AQP4 antibody–positive patients for MOG antibodies in the context of this study, AQP4- and MOG-antibody double positivity has been seldom reported23,24,39,40; therefore, the evaluation of the prevalence and clinical significance of double seropositive patients is a point of interest for future work.

The majority of MOG antibody–positive patients in our cohort had a propensity to relapse and remained persistently seropositive. This is a novel finding in adults, as 2 recently published cohorts have suggested that MOG antibody positivity is associated with a monophasic illness.35,24 The presence of relapses has been observed in pediatric patients with MOG antibodies and LETM or recurrent or bilateral ON.21,22 Notably, a number of our MOG antibody–positive patients had previous treatment with short courses of pulsed steroids alone and had a propensity to relapse. This may indicate that early and sustained immunotherapy with multiple agents, including steroid-sparing maintenance immunosuppression, may be more effective in maintaining disease remission. Further studies addressing the clinical implications of persistent seropositivity on relapse risk and therapeutic approaches in patients with MOG antibody–associated BON are required.

Conventional measures of disability such as the EDSS30 or mRS may not be appropriate in patients with BON, as visual impairment alone does not rate highly on such scales despite causing major functional disability. Previous studies highlight the favorable clinical outcomes of patients with MOG antibody–positive NMO/NMOSD.22,23 The majority of our MOG antibody–positive patients did indeed demonstrate an improvement of visual acuity and visual field deficits with early immunotherapy, suggesting that this condition may be treatable; however, this improvement was not universal. A minority of our seropositive patients did have
significant residual deficits in terms of visual acuity, visual field loss, and RNFL thinning. Although most patients had preserved visual acuity, some had evidence of concomitant RNFL thinning, which may represent a vulnerability to sustained visual impairment in the context of relapses. In addition to visual dysfunction, spinal cord–related disability may also persist, as in our patient with sequential BON and LETM. These results highlight the fact that MOG antibody–associated demyelination may not always have a favorable outcome.

Due to the retrospective study design, most samples were obtained pretreatment; however, some patients had received steroids or other immunosuppressive therapy at the time of sample collection. Despite this, the strong association of MOG antibody positivity with the clinical phenotype of relapsing BON with prominent optic disc swelling is novel and an important contribution to the field. Evaluation of visual fields was retrospective, and half of the antibody-positive patients had both acute and convalescent OCT results. Nonetheless, our study is the first attempt to objectively define visual acuity, visual field deficits, and RNFL in MOG antibody–positive patients and provides important insights on disease pathogenesis.

Taken together, our findings suggest that MOG antibody–associated BON may be a distinct immunologic entity with a characteristic clinical and therapeutic profile. Detection of MOG antibodies may assist with the early differentiation of this condition from AQP4 antibody–positive NMO and MS, and MOG antibodies may prove to be an essential biomarker with diagnostic and therapeutic implications.
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