Immunoadsorption therapy in autoimmune encephalitides

ABSTRACT

Objective: It was hypothesized that in encephalitis with autoantibodies directed to CNS surface antigens an antibody-removing intervention might speed up recovery.

Methods: The outcome of autoimmune encephalitis in 19 patients with antibodies against surface antigens (leucine-rich, glioma inactivated 1 [LGI1], n = 3; contactin-associated protein-2 [CASPR2], n = 4; NMDA receptor [NMDAR], n = 7) and intracellular antigens (glutamic acid decarboxylase [GAD], n = 5) after immunoadsorption in addition to corticosteroid therapy was evaluated retrospectively. Modified Rankin scale (mRS) scores and data on seizures, memory, and antibody titers directly after immunoadsorption (early follow-up) and after a median of 4 months (late follow-up) were compiled.

Results: Immediately after immunoadsorption, 9 of 14 patients with antibodies against LGI1, CASPR2, or NMDAR (64%), but none with GAD antibodies, had improved by at least one mRS point. Five of the 7 patients with LGI1 or CASRP2 antibodies had become seizure-free, and 2 patients with NMDAR antibodies had a memory improvement of more than 1 SD of a normal control population. At late follow-up, 12 of 14 patients with surface antibodies had improved (86%), and none of the patients with GAD antibodies.

Conclusions: It is suggested that addition of immunoadsorption to immunosuppression therapy in patients with surface antibodies may accelerate recovery. This supports the pathogenic role of surface antibodies.

Classification of evidence: This study provides Class IV evidence that immunoadsorption combined with immunosuppression therapy is effective in patients with autoimmune encephalitis with surface antibodies. Neurology Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm 2016;3:e207; doi: 10.1212/NXI.0000000000000207

GLOSSARY

CASPR2 = contactin-associated protein-2; DCS-R = Diagnostikum für Cerebralschädigung, revised version; GAD = glutamic acid decarboxylase; IA = immunoadsorption; IA-IS = antibody-removing therapy with immunosuppression; IgG = immunoglobulin G; IVIg = IV immunoglobulin; LGI1 = leucine-rich, glioma inactivated 1; mRS = modified Rankin Scale; NMDAR = NMDA receptor.

Autoimmune encephalitides can be associated with surface or nonsurface antibodies.1,2 Antibodies against NMDA receptor (NMDAR),3 leucine-rich, glioma inactivated 1 (LGI1), or contactin-associated protein-2 (CASPR2) are frequent.4–7 Intracellular antigenic targets are onconeural proteins like Hu and Ma1/28 or glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD) in its 65-kD isoform.9,10 Neuropathologic data suggest a relevant contribution of T cells in nonsurface antibodies.11,12 A direct pathogenic effect of NMDAR and LGI1 antibodies has been suggested by in vitro experiments13,14 and neuropathologic studies on human brain tissue.12 Recently, the Barcelona group reported the first passive-transfer animal experiments with NMDAR...
antibodies. Classical studies in myasthenia gravis demonstrated remission by removal of acetylcholine receptor antibodies, lending strong support to the direct pathogenic effect of these antibodies. This and the disease-transferring effect of antibody injection into animals make myasthenia gravis a paradigm of neurologic antibody-mediated conditions. Immunoadsorption (IA), a refined form of plasma exchange, is an option within the therapeutic armamentarium for autoimmune conditions of the CNS. The idea is that a reduction of serum antibodies also reduces antibodies in CSF and finally in the CNS itself.

At present, there are no evidence-based treatment standards for antibody-associated encephalitides. Many neurologists use corticosteroids, but apheresis or IV immunoglobulin (IVIg) have also been suggested as first-line treatments.

**METHODS** The purpose of our study was to investigate whether addition of antibody-removing therapy to immunosuppression (IA-IS therapy) accelerates recovery of patients with proven autoimmune encephalitis and surface antibodies or antibodies to intracellular antigens.

From June 2011 to May 2015, 30 patients were treated with IA because of definite or suspected autoimmune encephalitis. Eleven patients were excluded due to incomplete data or doubts about the validity of the diagnosis. The remaining 19 patients were either immunotherapy-naive (n = 5) or had received immunotherapeutic interventions before without effect (n = 14). Fifteen patients were treated in the Epilepsy Centre Bielefeld-Bethel, Germany, a tertiary referral center, and 4 in the Department of Neurology, University of Münster, Germany. Clinical information was compiled retrospectively by medical record review. Data were recorded for 3 time points: baseline (before IA treatment), early follow-up (directly after IA), and late follow-up several months after IA. Patients had limbic encephalitis (with LGI1 or CASPR2 antibodies, n = 7), anti-NMDAR encephalitis (n = 7), or immune-mediated temporal lobe epilepsy with GAD antibodies (n = 5). One patient had a neoplasm (NMDAR-F, small cell lung cancer). For demographic details and immunologic treatments given prior to IA, see table 1.

Modified Rankin Scale (mRS) scores were determined retrospectively and independently by 2 investigators per patient; one knew the patient, the other one rated from the records. In cases of divergent ratings (maximum difference was 1 mRS point), the mean was noted. A change of ±1 point was considered deterioration/improvement. Values ≤2 indicate independent living of the patient, values >2 increasing degrees of dependency. Seizure frequencies are expressed as per week and relate to following time periods: baseline, preceding 4 months or (if this was shorter) time from symptom onset; at early follow-up, the previous week; at late follow-up, time since last IA session. For memory assessment, the Verbal Learning and Memory Test, the German adaptation of the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test, and the Diagnostikum für Cerebralschädigung, revised version (DCS-R) for figural memory were used. In Münster patients, the Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test was applied instead of DCS-R. The z scores of verbal and figural tests were averaged. Eight values out of the potential 57 (19 patients × 3 time points [14%]) are missing. Five patients received 2 IA sequences because of an assumedly incomplete effect of the first one. Their analyses were performed on first IA sequence and its outcome. Follow-up after second IA round is only descriptively reported. This study provides Class IV evidence because of a lacking control group and masked outcome assessment.

**Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents.** All patients underwent IA based on an individual informed written consent of the patient or his or her representatives (compassionate use).

**Antibody determination.** Antibody specificities and titers for all patients were determined in the Bethel antibody laboratory using cell-based assays in the form of commercially available biochips (Euroimmun, Lübeck, Germany). Complementary DNAs for known antigens are inserted into eukaryotic expression vectors. Plasmids are subsequently transfected into HEK293 cells. These cells are seeded on cover glasses by use of polyethylenimine. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells are fixed with paraformaldehyde (LGI1, CASPR2, and other antigens, not relevant for this study) or acetone (NMDAR with NR1 subunits only and GAD65). Coated cover glasses are cut into 1 × 1 mm fragments and assembled to mosaics in defined orders. For titration purposes, slides containing 5 double fields (1 with HEK cells transfected with the antigen of interest and 1 with nontransfected control cells) are used. Biochips are stored at 4°C and used on demand. We followed the manufacturer’s instructions with modifications. For detection of antibodies, serum at 1:15 and undiluted CSF are incubated with biochips for 30 minutes at room temperature. The secondary system consists of a goat-anti-human immunoglobulin G (IgG) (heavy and light chain) antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor 594 produced by Jackson ImmunoResearch (West Grove, PA, code 109-585-088) at a dilution of 1:100. Nuclei are counterstained with Hoechst 33342, diluted 1:10,000. Both incubate at room temperature (anti-IgG: 30 minutes, Hoechst 33342: 10 minutes). Finally, cover glasses are put on antifading mounting medium 1,4-diazabicyclo (2.2.2) octane (1%). Stained biochips are examined using a fluorescence microscope (Leica DM 2000; Wetzlar, Germany) with excitation at 592 nm and emission filter at 616 nm for bound antibody and 350/462 nm for nuclear counterstain. The decision if an antibody is present in the tested material is done by 1 of 3 investigators (C.G.B., C.B., M.D.O.). Titers of antibodies are determined at 1:2 steps. Two persons rate titration stainings independently. In cases of divergent ratings, the mean is recorded. In 16 out of a potential 114 samples, no material for titration was available (14%). In Bielefeld-Bethel, sera were stored and titrated, having been obtained directly prior to an IA session and directly afterwards.

**Therapeutic intervention.** This was not a prospective study. Orienting suggestions for applications, dosages, treatment durations, and follow-up schedules were agreed upon among the physicians in the participating neurologic and nephrologic departments (figure 1A). The scheme was modified individually according to patients’ properties, preferences, or organizational circumstances. For immunoadsorption, vascular access was provided Class IV evidence because of a lacking control group and masked outcome assessment
## Table 1: Individual patients’ characteristics at baseline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody and patient no., sex, age at onset, y, institution</th>
<th>Disease duration, mo</th>
<th>Previous immunotherapy</th>
<th>Interval to IA-IS</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Encephalitis-related MRI lesions</th>
<th>mRS</th>
<th>Seizures/wk</th>
<th>Memory, z scores</th>
<th>Serum antibodies, titers 1:n</th>
<th>CSF antibodies, titers 1:n</th>
<th>IA system and no. sessions</th>
<th>Tumor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LGI1-A, M, 51, BI</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>10 d: MP pulse 5 g, then Pred 80 mg/d</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>Hypersignal R temp-med</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>−0.4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Fres, 10</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGI1-B, F, 66, BI</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>2 wk: Pred 80 mg</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>Hypersignal L temp-med</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>−1.7</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Dia, 10</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGI1-C, M, 63, BI</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>3 y; IVlg 150 g, PEX 4 ×, Pred 100 mg/d, tapered over several wk, MP pulse 5 g</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>HS L</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>−0.3</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Dia, 10</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASPR2-A, 74, BI</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6 mo: 3 MP pulses, 1 cyclophosphamide infusion, Pred 20 mg/d</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>Hypersignal amygdala bilateral</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>−1.8</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>Fres, 10</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASPR2-B, M, 55, Münster</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>Hypersignal L &gt; R temp-med</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>−0.7</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Fres, 5</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASPR2-C, 65, Münster</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>Hypersignal L &gt; R temp-med</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>−1.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>Fres, 5</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASPR2-D, M, 70, BI</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>5 d: MP pulse 2.5 g</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>Hypersignal R temp-med*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>−1.4</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Dia, 10</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDAR-A, M, 15, BI</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>6 d: MP pulse 6 g, IVlg 120 g</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Anti-NMDAR-E, 2nd bout</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>−3.0</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>Fres, 10</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDAR-B, F, 19, BI</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1 mo: MP pulse 4 g</td>
<td>4 wk</td>
<td>Anti-NMDAR-E</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>−1.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dia, 10</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDAR-C, F, 24, BI</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>6 d: MP pulse 5 g</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Anti-NMDAR-E</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>−3.0</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>Dia, 10</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDAR-D, F, 23, Münster</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5 d: MP pulse 2.5 g</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Anti-NMDAR-E</td>
<td>Frontal L</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−2.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Fres, 8</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDAR-E, F, 30, BI</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>2 y; PEX 4 ×; Pred tapered to 1 mg/d</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Anti-NMDAR-E</td>
<td>HS L</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−1.7</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Dia, 9</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDAR-F, F, 51, Münster</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Anti-NMDAR-E</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−3.0</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Fres, 5</td>
<td>SCLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDAR-G, F, 18, BI</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>25 d: MP pulse, then Pred 80 mg/d (6,600 mg)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Anti-NMDAR-E</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−0.1</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Fres, 10</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD-A, F, 36, BI</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>1.8 y; Pred 80 mg/d, tapered</td>
<td>1.5 y</td>
<td>GAD-TLE</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Dia, 10</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD-B, M, 30, BI</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>GAD-TLE</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Dia, 10</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD-C, F, 28, BI</td>
<td>132.0</td>
<td>1.5 y: MP pulse 2.3 g; Pred 80 mg/d tapered to 7.5 mg/d; 11 mo: + AZA 100 mg/d</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>GAD-TLE</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Dia, 10</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD-D, F, 59, BI</td>
<td>336.0</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>GAD-TLE</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>−1.7</td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Dia, 10</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD-E, F, 37, BI</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>2.5 y: MP pulse 5 g</td>
<td>2.5 y</td>
<td>GAD-TLE</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>−0.3</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dia, 10</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AZA – azathioprine; BI – Bielefeld-Bethel; CASPR2 – contactin-associated protein-2; Dia – diamed columns (disposable columns); Fres – Fresenius (regenerative double columns); GAD – glutamic acid decarboxylase; GAD-TLE – temporal lobe epilepsy with glutamic acid decarboxylase antibodies; HS – hippocampal sclerosis; IA – immunoadsorption; IS – immunosuppression; IVlg – IV immunoglobulin; LE – limbic encephalitis; LGI1 – leucine-rich glioma inactivated protein 1; MP – methylprednisolone; mRS – modified Rankin Scale; NA – not applicable; ND – not done; NMDAR – NMDA receptor; NMDAR-E – NMDA receptor encephalitis; PEX – plasma exchange; Pred – prednisolone; temp-med – temporomedial; SCLC – small cell lung carcinoma. *Evolved into HS.
Medical Care, Bad Homburg, Germany) with 1.5–2 plasma volumes (Münster, n = 4) or 2 to 2.2 plasma volumes (Bielefeld-Bethel, n = 4) processed or a disposable tryptophan column (Immunosorba TR-350, Octonova Technology, Diamed Medizintechnik GmbH, Cologne, Germany; 2 L plasma per session, n = 11, all done in Bielefeld-Bethel). At onset, 2 to 3 sessions were done on consecutive days. Thereafter, intervals were 2 to 3 days. One IA sequence consisted of 10 sessions in Bielefeld-Bethel (except for patient NMDAR-E with 9 sessions and LGI1-C with 8 sessions) and 5 in Münster (NMDAR-D received 8 sessions). Average material costs per IA session were about $2,200–$2,800 USD. All patients except one (NMDAR-D) received prednisolone in parallel (median dose 4.9 g, range 1.4–16 g). Before IA, 5 patients had been treated with other immunotherapies (plasma exchange: n = 2, NMDAR-E and LGI1-C; IVIg: n = 2, NMDAR-A and LGI1-C; azathioprine: n = 1, GAD-C; and cyclophosphamide: n = 1, CASPR2-A; for further details, see table 1).

RESULTS Patients had antibodies against LGI1 (n = 3; disease duration 13 [range 9.5–41] months), CASPR2 (n = 4; 4.8 [range 0.9–16] months), NMDAR (n = 7, all had CSF antibodies; disease duration 1.2 [range 0.3–36] months), or GAD (n = 5, all with high serum titers ≥1:1,500 and CSF antibodies; 8 years [range 4 months–28 years]). Individual data on all patients at baseline and follow-up time points are given in tables 1 and 2. At early follow-up (median 5 days after the last IA, range 0–43 days), serum and CSF titers decreased by a median of 97% and 64%, respectively, in the whole group. At late follow-up (median lag: 3.9 months, range 2.4–8.7 months), median decrease rates were 97% (serum) and 88% (CSF). Individual patient titer changes are given in figure 1, B and C. Course of serum titers during the sequence of IA is depicted in figure 1D.

At early follow-up, 9 of 14 patients with antibodies against surface antigens had improved by ≥1 mRS point: 2 of 3 with LGI1 antibodies, 3 of 4 with CASPR2 antibodies, and 4 of 7 with NMDAR antibodies. Whereas at baseline all patients with antibodies to surface antigens were dependent (mRS ≥2), 6 of 14 patients were independent (mRS ≤2, 43%) at early follow-up (figure 2). Rapid improvement is particularly evident by looking at seizure frequencies in patients with LGI1 and CASPR2 antibodies:
Table 2  Individual patients’ characteristics at early and late follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody and patient no.</th>
<th>Time after last IA, d</th>
<th>mRS</th>
<th>Seizures/wk</th>
<th>Memory (z scores)</th>
<th>Serum antibodies (titers 1:n)</th>
<th>CSF antibodies (titers 1:n)</th>
<th>Pred total doses, mg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LGI1-A</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10,745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGI1-B</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGI1-C</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASPR2-A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>3,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASPR2-B</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASPR2-C</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASPR2-D</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDAR-A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDAR-B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDAR-C</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4,790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDAR-D</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDAR-E</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5,720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDAR-F</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>6,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDAR-G</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7,885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD-A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD-B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD-C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1,675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD-D</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD-E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16,030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CASPR2 = contactin-associated protein-2; CBA = cell-based assay; GAD = glutamic acid decarboxylase; IA = immunoadsorption; LGI1 = leucine-rich glioma inactivated protein 1; mRS = modified Rankin Scale; ND = not done; NMDAR = NMDA receptor; Pred = prednisolone.
5 became immediately seizure-free. The LGI1 patients and CASPR2-B received no antiepileptic medication at all until early follow-up. CASPR2-C was on the same dose until late follow-up, and CASPR2-A had even been reduced (figure 3, A and B). This is similar with memory performance of patients with NMDAR antibodies: 2 of 7 improved rapidly by more than 1 SD (figure 3C).

Patients with antibodies to GAD did not improve in any area. Remarkably, 4 of 5 GAD-antibody-positive patients had disease durations of >3 years prior to IA.

At late follow-up, 12 of 14 patients (86%) with surface antibodies were responders on the mRS compared to baseline. All but 1 patient with NMDAR and 2 with CASPR2 antibodies had improved even beyond the performance at early follow-up, at least by 0.5 mRS scores (figure 2, E–G). Patients with LGI1 antibodies did not improve further. Only 1 man with limbic encephalitis and LGI1 antibodies (LGI1-C) but already fixed hippocampal sclerosis and 1 woman with NMDAR antibodies (NMDAR-G) did not improve by ≥1 mRS point (figure 2, E and G). However, despite a good early overall recovery, there was no recovery of memory performance in patients with LGI1 or CASRP2 antibodies within the observational period. No patient with GAD antibodies, 4 of 5 with long time lags until IA, improved at any point in time. The only patient with a small-cell lung cancer (NMDAR-F) showed no improvement at early follow-up but only after radio-chemotherapy between early and late follow-up.

Second IA series. A median of 4.5 (3.6–10.0) months after the last IA of the first series, 6 patients started a second IA series due to assumed incomplete effect of the first series: NMDAR-G, LGI1-C (with hippocampal sclerosis), CASPR2-D, CASPR2-B, GAD-A, and GAD-D. Five had a follow-up (median 4.6 months, range 2.9–6.0 months). None of these patients improved by ≥1 mRS point compared to first late follow-up.

Adverse events. Adverse effects of IA were associated with the venous catheter: colonization of catheter tip with coagulase-negative staphylococcus requiring antibiotic therapy (LGI-2) and venous air embolism (GAD65-1). They resolved completely. Glucocorticoid therapy led to elevated blood glucose levels (CASPR2-D), acne (NMDAR-E), upper respiratory infection (LGI1-A), weight gain, and skin dryness and fungal infections (GAD65-3). Tachycardia and lip herpes were related to rituximab and cyclophosphamide in...
1 patient (NMDAR-C) and depressive mood disturbance to mycophenolate mofetil (GAD65-1).

**Four representative case descriptions.** A 19-year-old woman (NMDAR-B) had encephalopathy with predominant psychiatric/cognitive impairment. Six days after symptom onset, she was treated at another hospital with 4 × 1,000 mg IV methylprednisolone without any effect. IA with concomitant prednisolone was started 6 weeks after symptom onset. One day after last IA, mRS was found to have gone down from 4.5 (baseline) to 1.0. Her memory returned almost into the normal range (from z score −1.3 to −1.0). Three months later, she was back to school without memory impairment (mRS 0, memory z score: +0.4) (figure 3C).

A 60-year-old man (LGI1-C) developed LE due to LGI1 antibodies with frequent pilomotor seizures, hyperhidrosis, and memory and mood problems. At disease onset, IV methylprednisolone, oral prednisolone, and immunoglobulins had been administered with transient improvement of memory deficits and hyperhidrosis. Upon presentation to our center (41 months after symptom onset) and prior to IA-IS, he had MRI evidence of left-sided hippocampal sclerosis and right-sided amygdalar hyperintense signal (figure 3A). The patient refused antiepileptic pharmacotherapy. IA was followed by seizure reduction at early follow-up (figure 3A). Depressive symptoms persisted and required pharmacotherapy for 18 months. A second IA course was performed about 6 months later.
because depression and seizures continued and LGI1 antibodies increased. His mood improved but seizures became more frequent. We traced back this incomplete response to the fixed hippocampal sclerosis.

A 30-year-old man (GAD65-B) had pharmacoresistant temporal lobe seizures but normal memory performance and normal MRI. Four months after onset, he was started on IA and prednisolone. Levetiracetam was increased from 2 g to 3 g per day without effect on mRS or seizures. This was representative for all 5 GAD65 patients. Memory function remained normal.

**DISCUSSION** Two-thirds of patients with IgG antibodies to the surface antigens LGI1, CASPR2, and NMDAR responded within days to IA-IS therapy. The rapid achievement of seizure freedom in 5 of 7 LGI1/CASPR2-antibody-positive patients and the fast memory improvement in 2 of 7 patients with anti-NMDAR encephalitis are particularly impressive. In this retrospective, uncontrolled design, it is challenging to disentangle the effect of IA from (1) the natural course of autoimmune encephalitis, (2) the effect of previous immunologic interventions, and (3) the concomitant prednisolone therapy. Option 1 probably did not contribute to the rapid improvements during IA as documented at early follow-up. Options 2 and 3 may in part contribute to clinical improvement. The relapse in patient LGI1-B (see case description) was successfully treated with steroids alone, but this was a different disease episode. On the other hand, 2 patients had been treated with corticosteroids alone for 1 month (NMDAR-B) or with a combination of corticosteroids and cyclophosphamide for 6 months (CASPR2-A). Only after IA-IS therapy did the patients improve. Improvement was evident on mRS assessment within 3 days after last IA. This suggests that GAD antibodies per se predict limited therapeutic effects of IA-IS. Other authors, however, describe a more positive influence of apheresis therapy in patients with antibodies against intracellular antigens. However, improvement was not stringently defined, short-lived, or absent. Poor response to antibody removal in these patients accounts for a primarily T-cell-based cytotoxicity and supports the idea of lack of antibody pathogenicity.

In line with previous studies, our study data show that IA brought down antibody concentration in the periphery. Interestingly, CSF titers were also strongly reduced (by 66% at early follow-up). In a previous plasma exchange study, however, only a mean drop of 23% of CSF antibody titers was observed. This is probably due to weaker reduction of peripheral IgG levels (mean 75%) with reduced IgG redistribution from CSF across the blood–brain barrier along the serum-CSF-concentration gradient. This shift from CSF to the bloodstream may contribute to the sawtooth-like kinetics of antibody concentrations in serum (figure 1D). Long-term reduction of antibodies is probably due to the ongoing suppression of antibody secretion by corticosteroids.

Apart from the in part rapid effect of IA, evaluation of clinical usefulness of IA needs to take into account tolerability and costs. Addition of IA to corticosteroids at first glance increases costs and risks. In 2 of 19 patients, there were side effects that would have been serious adverse events in prospective trials, all related to the venous catheter. However, accelerated clinical recovery of patients treated with IA with reduced neurologic impairment, reduced or absent seizures, and possibly shorter periods of time spent in the hospital may outweigh risks and costs introduced by IA treatment.

This is a retrospective study with all inherent limitations. Therapeutic interventions were not totally uniform. Long disease duration until IA-IS in some patients may have contributed to an unfavorable outcome. Late diagnosis or failures of first therapeutic interventions were the reasons for the long time lag until IA-IS therapy. We did not study control patients, including patients with steroid therapy alone. On the basis of our data, the effect of IA cannot be clearly separated from that of concomitantly given steroids, IVlg, or other immunosuppressive therapies in some patients. The only patient with a tumor (NMDAR-F) did not improve during IA-IS therapy. Gradual recovery was reported after radio-chemotherapy.

Rapid improvement of patients with surface antibodies upon IA further supports the idea of a pathogenic effect of these antibodies. One might tentatively suggest that addition of IA to corticosteroids can speed up recovery by quick reduction of antibody titers. This
might be an advantage in severely affected patients. In the absence of a control group and a masked outcome assessment, this study is considered grade IV evidence. Prospective controlled studies are needed to gain further data for the specific benefit of IA.
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