Raised VEGF
High sensitivity and specificity in the diagnosis of POEMS syndrome
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Abstract

Objective
To investigate the sensitivity and the specificity of serum vascular endothelial growth factor (sVEGF) for the diagnosis of polyneuropathy, organomegaly, endocrinopathy, M-protein, and skin changes (POEMS) syndrome in patients with a neuropathy (NP) and to identify confounding causes of raised vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in this context to improve accuracy.

Methods
We studied the specificity and sensitivity of sVEGF for the diagnosis of POEMS syndrome in a cohort of 195 consecutive patients with an NP in serum samples from June 2009 to November 2013, including 27 untreated patients with POEMS syndrome. We then studied VEGF in other neuropathies and analyzed causes of elevated VEGF in a multiple logistic regression analysis in a larger cohort of 236 patients including 168 with a non-POEMS NP and 68 without NP.

Results
The sensitivity of elevated sVEGF for the diagnosis of POEMS was 100%. Its specificity was 91% in patients with an NP and 92% in patients with an NP and a paraproteinemia. sVEGF was much higher in POEMS before treatment. sVEGF was not significantly elevated in any non-POEMS NP or hematologic disease group. Multiple logistic regression showed that anemia with low iron was a significant predictor for elevated sVEGF and that chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and obstructive sleep apnoea-hypopnoea syndrome were significant predictors for very elevated sVEGF.

Interpretation
We confirmed the high sensitivity and specificity of an elevated VEGF for the diagnosis of POEMS. However, VEGF testing should be repeated, particularly after acute illnesses. Raised sVEGF should be interpreted with caution unless anemias with low iron, sleep apnea, COPD, cancers, vasculitis, and chronic inflammatory diseases are excluded.

Classification of evidence
This study provides class IV evidence that elevated sVEGF levels accurately identifies patients with POEMS syndrome.
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Polyneuropathy, organomegaly, endocrinopathy, M-protein, and skin changes (POEMS) syndrome is a rare and severe multisystem disease driven by an underlying plasma cell dyscrasia. Treatments include surgical removal or irradiation of isolated plasmacytoma and, when the plasma cell dyscrasia is disseminated, chemotherapy with or without hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Raised circulating vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) levels have been reported in patients with POEMS. Because this appears to be a distinct characteristic from other paraproteinemic neuropathies, the diagnostic criteria for POEMS were modified in 2007 to include circulating VEGF as a major criterion. POEMS syndrome is confirmed when the 2 mandatory criteria of a polyneuropathy and a monoclonal plasma cell proliferative disorder are present, in association with at least one of the other major criteria (Castleman disease, sclerotic bone lesion(s), and VEGF elevation), and with at least one of the minor diagnostic criteria. Levels of circulating VEGF are also probably useful in the follow-up of patients with POEMS syndrome as a biomarker of response to treatment. However, elevated VEGF has been described in diseases other than POEMS and patients with a neuropathy (NP) and a paraproteinaemia, and failure to respect this could potentially lead to an incorrect diagnosis of POEMS syndrome.

This study documents the specificity (Sp) and sensitivity (Se) of serum VEGF (sVEGF) for the diagnosis of POEMS syndrome in a retrospective cohort of patients with an NP and identifying confounding factors of raised sVEGF in the investigation of neuropathies with paraproteinaemia.

**Methods**

The primary research questions to address in this study were as follows:

1. What is the sensitivity and specificity of raised sVEGF for the diagnosis of POEMS syndrome compared with other causes of NP or non-POEMS hematologic disease (HD)?
2. What confounding factors cause raised sVEGF?

This retrospective study included consecutive patients attending peripheral nerve outpatient clinics at the National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery who had an sVEGF tested as part of their clinical investigations from June 2009 to November 2013. Samples were sent immediately for processing in the Neuroimmunology Department of the Institute of Neurology, Queen Square, London, United Kingdom. Only patients with available clinical data were included in the study. We collected their age, sex, and pathologic conditions at the time of sVEGF testing from their medical records. The cohort included both POEMS and non-POEMS patients. Patients with POEMS syndrome were diagnosed according to internationally accepted criteria; all had a polyneuropathy, and a monoclonal plasma cell disorder identified on serologic testing, bone marrow biopsy with or without plasmacytoma histology. Serial testing was requested in some because levels of VEGF were high and unexplained or lower than expected when POEMS was suspected. Because the purpose of this study was to identify and explain the causation of increased VEGF levels in non-POEMS patients, the highest values in all patients were selected.

sVEGF levels were measured by commercial ELISA according to the manufacturer’s instructions (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MI). The upper limit of the normal range was determined as 90% fit of sera from 108 normal healthy blood donors and was 771 pg/mL. Current practice in hematology uses a cutoff of 1,000 pg/mL as a more significant elevation of sVEGF. In this study, sVEGF was considered as “elevated” when ≥771 pg/mL and “very elevated” when ≥1,000 pg/mL.

IBM SPSS Statistics 21 was used for statistical analysis. Non-parametric tests (Mann-Whitney U and Kruskal-Wallis) were used to compare sVEGF levels between groups and subgroups of disease because sVEGF values were not normally distributed, and some groups or subgroups had small numbers of patients. The Spearman correlation test was used for correlations between sVEGF and age. Chi-square tests were used to compare the frequency of elevated and very elevated sVEGF in the different groups of diseases. Multiple logistic regression analyses were used to identify independent risks factors for elevated and very elevated sVEGF in the non-POEMS patient population.

**Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents**

Ethics committee approval was not required for this laboratory audit and clinical assay development. Studies of this kind are approved under The Royal College of Pathologists guidance. All patient information is fully anonymized.

**Data availability**

Any anonymized data not published within the article will be shared by request from a qualified investigator.

**Results**

A total of 206 patients with an NP had at least 1 test for sVEGF. There were 70 women (34%) and 136 men (66%) aged 58.4 ± 6.6 years.
14.3 years (mean ± SD, range 19–92 years). Thirty-eight patients had clinically confirmed POEMS syndrome fulfilled by criteria other than increased VEGF levels, including 27 pre-treatment and 11 posttreatment POEMS cases, and 168 patients had non-POEMS NP (table 1). Of the 11 treated patients with POEMS syndrome, 6 had received an autologous bone marrow transplant, 2 radiotherapy, 2 cyclophosphamide/dexamethasone, and 1 lenalidomide/dexamethasone.

sVEGF in patients with neuropathy
sVEGF was significantly higher in the pretreatment POEMS group than in any of the other NP groups (p < 0.0001). It was also higher in the posttreatment POEMS, CIDP, and anti-MAG NP groups than in the other noninflammatory NP groups (p = 0.013, p = 0.023, and p = 0.009), and it was higher in the posttreatment POEMS and anti-MAG NP groups than in the other inflammatory and paraproteinemic NP groups (p = 0.039 and p = 0.025) (table 1, figure 1).

All the pretreatment POEMS patients had very elevated sVEGF concentrations (p < 0.0001 in comparison to other NP groups). The anti-MAG NP group had also a larger proportion of patients with elevated and very elevated sVEGF than CIDP, other inflammatory/paraproteinemic, and other noninflammatory NP groups (p < 0.05). Apart from the anti-MAG NP group (p = 0.447 vs posttreatment POEMS), more patients in the posttreatment POEMS group had an elevated sVEGF than in the other non-POEMS NP groups (p < 0.05), which was not found with very elevated sVEGF (table 1).

sVEGF concentrations and the frequency of patients with raised sVEGF did not differ between the subgroups of the other inflammatory and paraproteinemic NPs (p = 0.924), nor between the subgroups of other non-inflammatory NPs (p = 0.392) (table e-1, links.lww.com/NXI/A61).

Table 1 sVEGF concentrations and proportion of patients with raised sVEGF in the NP and hematologic disease groups (N = 206 patients)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N patients (%)</th>
<th>sVEGF (pg/mL)</th>
<th>&gt;771</th>
<th>&gt;1,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>% gp*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POEMS syndrome</td>
<td>38 (18.4%)</td>
<td>2,741 ± 2,652</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>84.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreatment</td>
<td>27 (13.1%)</td>
<td>3,506 ± 2,717</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posttreatment</td>
<td>11 (5.3%)</td>
<td>864 ± 1,170</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>45.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-POEMS NP</td>
<td>168 (81.6%)</td>
<td>364 ± 324</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIDP</td>
<td>51 (24.8%)</td>
<td>406 ± 492</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-MAG NPb</td>
<td>19 (9.2%)</td>
<td>566 ± 503</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasculitic NPb</td>
<td>12 (5.8%)</td>
<td>450 ± 601</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other inflammatory and paraproteinemic NPs</td>
<td>33 (16.0%)</td>
<td>309 ± 341</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other noninflammatory NPs</td>
<td>55 (26.7%)</td>
<td>261 ± 278</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-POEMS hematologic disease</td>
<td>88 (42.7%)</td>
<td>356 ± 372</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGUS</td>
<td>54 (26.2%)</td>
<td>376 ± 374</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple myeloma</td>
<td>18 (8.7%)</td>
<td>190 ± 171</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>16 (7.8%)</td>
<td>475 ± 475</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia</td>
<td>9 (4.4%)</td>
<td>517 ± 349</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hodgkin lymphomaa</td>
<td>4 (1.9%)</td>
<td>546 ± 850</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other hematologic malignanciesd</td>
<td>3 (1.5%)</td>
<td>254 ± 182</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No hematologic disease</td>
<td>80 (38.8%)</td>
<td>373 ± 477</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>206 (100%)</td>
<td>803 ± 1,507</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Anti-MAG = anti-myelin-associated glycoprotein; MGUS = monoclonal gammopathy of unknown significance; NP = neuropathy; POEMS = polyneuropathy, organomegaly, endocrinopathy, M-protein, and skin changes; sVEGF = serum vascular endothelial growth factor.

a Percentage of patients with increased VEGF levels in the disease group.

b Two patients with anti-MAG and vasculitic neuropathy.

c Follicular NHL (n = 1), Burkitt NHL (n = 1), and low-grade B-cell NHL (n = 2).

d Chronic lymphoid leukemia (n = 1), chronic myeloid leukemia (n = 1), and indolent T-cell large granular lymphocyte leukemia (n = 1).
sVEGF in patients with hematologic disease

Eighty-eight of 168 non-POEMS NP patients had a HD not always related to their NP (table 1). One patient with pre-treatment POEMS syndrome had a nonsecretory monoclonal plasma cell disorder confirmed on bone marrow biopsy. sVEGF was significantly higher in the pretreatment POEMS than in the other HD groups (\(< 0.01\)). Posttreatment POEMS had higher sVEGF than the multiple myeloma and no HD groups (\(< 0.05\)) and similar sVEGF than the other HD groups. sVEGF concentrations were lower in the multiple myeloma group than in the pre- and post-treatment POEMS, MGUS, and Waldenström macroglobulinemia (WM) groups (\(< 0.05\)), and it also tended to be lower than in the no HD group (\(< 0.052\)).

Sensitivity and specificity of sVEGF in POEMS syndrome

For the Se-Sp study of sVEGF for the diagnosis of POEMS syndrome, posttreatment POEMS was excluded. This was studied in 195 patients with an NP (table 2). The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (area under the curve (AUC) ± standard error [95% CI (CI)]) was 0.985 ± 0.07 (0.971–0.998). In a subgroup of 109 patients with an NP and a paraproteinemia, i.e., who had the 2 mandatory major criteria for the diagnosis of POEMS syndrome\(^5\) (table 2), the AUC was 0.993 ± 0.005 (0.983–1.000).

Fifteen of 206 patients had a non-POEMS NP and a raised sVEGF, including 7 patients with a paraprotein (figure 2, table e-2, links.lww.com/NXI/A61). The mean ± SD number of comorbidities per patient was 2.9 ± 1.5 (median 3.0, range 1–7). The number of comorbidities was not correlated with sVEGF concentrations (\(r_s = -0.09, p = 0.246\)). There was no sex (\(p = 0.845\)) or age (\(r_s = -0.035, p = 0.653\)) effect on sVEGF concentrations.

To further explain sVEGF elevation in those 15 patients with a non-POEMS NP and a raised sVEGF, all other comorbidities at the time of sVEGF testing were explored as potential confounding factors. Those analyses did not include patients with POEMS and thus explored 168 patients. Comorbidities were anemia (\(n = 20\)), diabetes (\(n = 18\)), chronic inflammatory disease (\(n = 12\)), ischemic disease (peripheral and coronary arterial diseases and stroke) (\(n = 11\)), solid cancer (\(n = 5\)), hypoxemic disease (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [COPD], and obstructive sleep apnoea-hypopnoea syndrome [OSAHS]) (\(n = 10\)), hypertension (\(n = 47\)), other neurologic diseases (\(n = 14\)), other endocrinopathy (\(n = 13\)), benign tumors (\(n = 11\)), dyslipidemia (\(n = 11\)), asthma (\(n = 9\)), nonischemic heart disease (\(n = 8\)), osteoporosis (\(n = 8\)), chronic kidney disease (\(n = 5\)), and miscellaneous conditions (\(n = 29\)).

Anemia was defined as hemoglobin ≤12 g/dL (\(n = 20/168\); 11.9%). There was no significant difference in sVEGF

Figure 1 Serum vascular endothelial growth factor (sVEGF) concentrations in different NP groups

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)

1,000 pg/mL (very elevated sVEGF); 771 pg/mL (elevated sVEGF). *\(p < 0.0001\) in comparison with each other group; **\(p < 0.05\) in comparison with other inflammatory/paraproteinemic NPs and other noninflammatory NPs; ***\(p < 0.05\) in comparison with other noninflammatory NPs. Anti-MAG = anti-myelin-associated glycoprotein; CIDP = chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy; NP = neuropathy; POEMS = polyneuropathy, organomegaly, endocrinopathy, M-protein, and skin changes; VEGF = vascular endothelial growth factor.
concentrations in patients with anemia (median [min; max]: 331 [28; 2,616] pg/mL) or without anemia (229 [19; 2,443]) (p = 0.428). However, when subgroups of anemia were defined according to their serum iron concentrations, there was a significant difference (p = 0.017): sVEGF concentrations were significantly elevated in patients with anemia and low serum iron (789 [320; 2,616] pg/mL, n = 5) in comparison to patients without anemia (229 [19; 2,443] pg/mL, n = 148) (p = 0.005) and with anemia and normal serum iron (175 [28; 1,816] pg/mL, n = 15) (p = 0.029). Of the 5 patients with anemia and low serum iron, 3 had CIDP, one an anti-MAG NP, and 1 vasculitic NP. The clinical data of these 5 cases are summarized in table e-4, links.lww.com/NXI/A61. sVEGF concentrations did not differ in the low serum iron subgroup whether the iron profile showed an iron deficiency (n = 2) or a chronic inflammation pattern (n = 3). Ten (6%) patients had a hypoxemic disease, 4 with COPD, and 6 with OSAHS. Although the mean sVEGF concentrations were not significantly different between patients with (291 [69; 2,443] pg/mL) and without hypoxemic disease (229 [19; 1,616]) (p = 0.400), the proportion of patients with a very elevated sVEGF was higher in patients with OSAHS representing 2/10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sVEGF (pg/mL)</th>
<th>NP (N = 195)</th>
<th>NP and paraproteinemia (N = 109)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;771</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1,000</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1,000</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1,000</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1,000</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1,000</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: NP = neuropathy; NPV = negative predictive value; POEMS = polyneuropathy, organomegaly, endocrinopathy, M-protein, and skin changes; PPV = positive predictive value; Se = sensitivity; Sp = specificity; sVEGF = serum vascular endothelial growth factor.

Table 2 Sensitivity and specificity of sVEGF for the diagnosis of POEMS syndrome in patients with an NP

Figure 2 Distribution of serum vascular endothelial growth factor (sVEGF) in different neuropathy groups
(20.0%) than in patients without a hypoxemic disease (9/158, 5.7%) \( (p = 0.023) \). All 4 COPD patients had a stable disease without any recent exacerbation. Two of 6 patients with OSAHS were untreated at the time of testing and had a particularly high sVEGF concentration (1,043 and 2,443 pg/mL). No other comorbidities were associated with raised sVEGF concentration.

Because patients had multiple comorbidities, we explored risk factors for raised sVEGF with multiple logistic regression analyses. As some disease groups had small numbers of patients, we included 68 consecutive patients without an NP for whom sVEGF testing was requested between June 2009 and November 2013 and for whom clinical data were available. Thus, a total of 236 patients were analyzed. Twenty-three of 236 patients (9.7%) had an elevated sVEGF (8 without an NP), and 13/236 (5.5%) had a very elevated sVEGF (2 without a NP). In addition to the non-POEMS NP and the HDs groups, the variables assessed for their predictive value are set out in table e-3, links.lww.com/NXI/A61, the most common of which were hypertension (n = 59), diabetes (n = 23), other endocrinopathies (n = 20), anemia with normal serum iron (n = 32) and with low serum iron (n = 6), other neurologic diseases (n = 26), and chronic inflammatory diseases (n = 23). The first model assessed the risk of any comorbidity for an "elevated" sVEGF. Anemia with low iron was the only significant predictor with an OR of 15.4 (95% CI 1.3–187.8 \( (p = 0.032) \)) (figure 3). In addition, there was a trend for COPD or OSAHS (OR = 7.9, 95% CI 0.8–81.0, \( p = 0.081 \)) and anti-MAG NP (OR = 8.6, 95% CI 0.98–75.6, \( p = 0.052 \)) to be predictive of elevated sVEGF. The second model assessed the risk of having a "very elevated" sVEGF. Here, OSAHS or COPD was the only significant predictive factor (OR = 41.7, 95% CI 1.4–1,226, \( p = 0.031 \)) (figure 3).

**Discussion**

This retrospective study confirms the high specificity and sensitivity of VEGF for the diagnosis of POEMS syndrome, especially when it is raised in the presence of an NP and a paraproteinemia. Similar findings using plasma VEGF in a cohort of 105 patients have also been demonstrated.\(^4\) By selecting patients with an NP, i.e., a population with a clinical presentation more such as POEMS syndrome and thus more likely to be tested, our study found a higher Sp and Se. This highlights the advantages of testing in a targeted population. A larger number of patients may also contribute to the accuracy of Sp and Se. The use of plasma vs sVEGF is discussed. Higher concentrations of sVEGF reflect the release of VEGF from platelets during aggregation, and this could lead to a lack of reliability of sVEGF depending on collection and processing procedures.\(^5\) However, variations of plasma VEGF can also occur depending on those procedures, and standardization is needed for both plasma and serum testing.\(^8\) sVEGF might better reflect the VEGF overproduction in pathologic conditions and should probably be interpreted together with the platelet count.\(^9\) Timing of blood collection to processing was not standardized in this retrospective study, which could affect

---

**Figure 3 sVEGF concentrations in anemia and hypoxemic diseases**

(A) Serum VEGF in anemia with and without iron deficiency. (B) Serum VEGF in hypoxemic diseases. 1,000 pg/mL (very elevated sVEGF); 771 pg/mL (elevated sVEGF). COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; OSAHS = obstructive sleep apnoea-hypopnea syndrome; sVEGF = serum vascular endothelial growth factor.

---
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the recorded sVEGF level. In another published cohort of 161 patients with an NP, lower specificity and positive predictive values were found, but 29 patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis were included. The proportions of patients with elevated sVEGF in the anti-myelin-associated glycoprotein (anti-MAG) NP, multifocal motor neuropathy (MMN), and other noninflammatory NP groups are similar to other studies. Higher sVEGF levels in patients with chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (CIDP) and Guillain-Barré Syndrome were not replicated in this study. This discrepancy might be at least partially explained by confounding comorbidities not explored in that study. In our study, none of the NP groups was found to be an independent predictive factor for raised sVEGF. However, comparative tests and the multiple logistic regression analysis support a raised sVEGF in some anti-MAG NPs, which requires confirmation by other studies. A raised sVEGF in this demyelinating NP associated with a paraproteinemia might lead to a type I error. However, the clinical presentation, progression, and the associated HD are so different that this misdiagnosis is unlikely. Anti-MAG NP is only associated with an immunoglobulin (Ig) M paraprotein usually with a kappa light chain; it is incredibly rare to have an IgM paraprotein associated with POEMS syndrome (2%, of cases, compared with IgA [52%] or IgG [42%]). Finding an increased VEGF level, coupled with polyneuropathy and a non-IgM monoclonal paraprotein, especially with a lambda light chain, should be considered highly likely to be POEMS syndrome.

As expected, all pretreatment POEMS patients had very elevated sVEGF, significantly higher than in the other NP and HD groups. We did not look systematically at longitudinal sVEGF progression after treatment. However, the mean sVEGF was much lower in the posttreatment POEMS group, in line with previous studies that showed a VEGF decrease in response to treatment.

No independent HD was associated with an elevated or very elevated VEGF in the absence of POEMS. Angiogenesis factors are involved in the pathogenesis of most of the hematologic malignancies, and raised circulating VEGF levels have been described in chronic myeloid leukemia, chronic myelomonocytic leukemia, chronic lymphocytic leukemia, and lymphoma, often in correlation with disease activity. The VEGF level has previously been found elevated in multiple myeloma (MM), but our study found lower sVEGF concentrations in this group in comparison to other HD groups and to patients with no HD; this finding might be due to a large proportion (16/18) of treated patients in our cohort. Increased VEGF levels has also been described in WM in 1 study. However, even if VEGF was higher in WM than in other HD groups, this did not reach significance here, and WM was not identified as a predictor of raised sVEGF in the multiple logistic regression models.

Anemia with low serum iron is a risk factor for elevated sVEGF. The small number of patients in this subgroup results in a wide CI, but as anemia can lead to tissue hypoxia and stimulate VEGF secretion via hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1-alpha stabilization, it is a plausible cause of raised circulating VEGF. We could observe a significant decrease in sVEGF concentrations from 2,616 to 800 pg/mL after iron supplementation in a CIDP patient with iron deficiency anemia (patient 81, table e-2, links. lww.com/NXI/A61). The association between increased VEGF levels and low hemoglobin levels has mainly been studied in patients with various cancers, and contradictory results were found. In our cohort, anemia on its own was not found to increase sVEGF levels, but it only became significant when associated with low serum iron. The effect of cellular iron deficiency on VEGF production has been demonstrated in breast cancer, supporting our results. This demonstrates in vitro that intracellular iron deficiency, independent from hypoxia, stabilizes HIF-1-alpha, which increases the production of VEGF.

COPD and OSAHS are very significant risk factors for very elevated sVEGF. The multiple logistic regression model included 7 patients with a COPD and 7 with an OSAHS. The 4 patients with raised sVEGF had either untreated sleep apnea (n = 2) or exacerbations of COPD (n = 2) (figure 3). Increased VEGF levels has been described in patients with mild to very severe COPD. Supporting our results, increased VEGF levels has been demonstrated in COPD exacerbations, correlated with systemic inflammatory markers, in comparison to stable COPD and healthy subjects, which has been also correlated inversely to arterial oxygenation. In OSAHS, previous studies found a raised circulating VEGF in untreated patients compared with treated patients and healthy controls, and this elevation was correlated with the nocturnal hypoxia and the apnea-hypopnea index.

Contrary to our results, we did not find increased VEGF levels in a number of comorbidities that were possibly expected. VEGF is described as being raised in vasculitis, but this was not found in our study. However, our vasculitic neuropathies were mostly limited to the peripheral nervous system with no systemic involvement or associated inflammatory autoimmune disease. In connective tissue diseases, VEGF is associated with raised inflammatory cytokines and/or disease activity, and very high circulating VEGF levels have been found in patients with most active connective tissue diseases. In pulmonary infection, circulating VEGF can be elevated, explaining raised sVEGF in 2 cases of our cohort, 1 with pneumonia (patient 233) and another with organizing pneumonitis (patient 142). Both of them had very elevated sVEGF, which decreased after treatment. Solid cancers have been associated with increased VEGF levels. VEGF levels correlate with serum interleukin 6 (IL-6) (interesting in the context of IL-6 drive in POEMS, see below) and other cytokines, anemia, advanced cancer stage, and poor prognosis.

Fifteen of 168 non-POEMS NP patients had a raised sVEGF, which could be explained by an identified comorbidity as above: anemia with low serum iron (3/15, patients 81, 117,
and 176), COPD or sleep apnea (2/15, patients 145 and 238), connective tissue disease (3/15, patients 61, 100, and 44), pulmonary infection (2/15, patients 142 and 233), and low-grade non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) (1/15, patient 114) (table e-2, links.lww.com/NXI/A61). Retrospective data collection did not identify a potential cause of raised sVEGF in 4/15 patients including 3 patients with anti-MAG NP requiring further study.

VEGF upregulation by HIF-1-alpha and inflammatory cytokines is shared by all these conditions. In the pathogenesis of POEMS syndrome, VEGF is believed to be a key cytokine. It is produced in all tissues. Its receptors are found mainly on endothelial cells but also on hematopoietic stem cells, megakaryocytes, monocytes, chondrocytes, and others. Its neo-angiogenesis and vascular permeability functions are likely responsible for some of the clinical features of POEMS, such as edema, pleural effusion, ascites, papilledema, and pulmonary hypertension. Increased endoneurial microvascular permeability is thought to contribute to blood-nerve barrier dysfunction, allowing ingress of toxic agents that cause nerve damage. Its osteogenesis function may be involved in sclerotic bone lesion formation. Thrombocytosis and polycythemia cause microvascular thrombosis and microvascular neoangiogenesis, inducing hypoxia and stabilizing HIF-1-alpha resulting in an upregulation of VEGF. High VEGF is also sustained by inflammatory cytokines IL-1 beta, tumor necrosis factor (TNF) alpha, and IL-6.

This retrospective study confirmed the high Se and Sp of sVEGF as a useful biomarker for POEMS syndrome diagnosis in patients with an NP, especially when associated with a paraproteinemia. In this context, only few important confounding comorbidities were identified, which should be considered during diagnosis. When clinical features are likely those of POEMS syndrome (a rapidly progressive demyelinating sensorimotor distal polyneuropathy with lambda light chain paraproteinemia), high VEGF is a very useful diagnostic biomarker for the diagnosis of POEMS syndrome, and the other clinical and biological features of POEMS syndrome should be systematically sought. On the contrary, when an increased VEGF level is found without a paraprotein and an appropriate NP, testing should be repeated, particularly after acute illnesses, and anemias with low iron, cancers, hematologic malignancies, OSAHS, COPD, vascularitis, and chronic inflammatory diseases should be excluded before using VEGF confidently for a POEMS diagnosis. sVEGF can be sent for analysis to the Neuroimmunology Department of the Institute of Neurology, Queen Square, London, United Kingdom.
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