Targeting B cells to modify MS, NMOSD, and MOGAD

Part 2

Jonas Graf, MD, Jan Mares, MD, Michael Barnett, MD, PhD, Orhan Aktas, MD, Philipp Albrecht, MD, Scott S. Zamvil, MD, PhD, and Hans-Peter Hartung, MD, FRCP

Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm 2021;8:e919. doi:10.1212/NXI.0000000000000919

Correspondence

Dr. Hartung
hans-peter.hartung@
uni-duesseldorf.de

Abstract

Ocrelizumab, rituximab, ofatumumab, ublituximab, inebilizumab, and evobrutinib are immunotherapies that target various B cell–related proteins. Most of these treatments have proven efficacy in relapsing and progressive forms of MS and neuromyelitis optica spectrum disease (NMOSD) or are in advanced stages of clinical development. Currently, ocrelizumab and inebilizumab are licensed for treatment of MS and NMOSD, respectively. This part of the review focuses on monoclonal antibody B cell–depleting strategies in NMOSD and the emerging related myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG) immunoglobulin G–associated disease (MOGAD). Case series and phase 2/3 studies in these inflammatory disorders are assessed. The safety profile of long-term B-cell depletion in MS, NMOSD, and MOGAD will be highlighted. Finally implications of the current coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic on the management of patients with these disorders and the use of B cell–depleting agents will be discussed.

RELATED ARTICLE

Targeting B cells to modify
MS, NMOSD, and
MOGAD: Part 1

Page e918

From the Department of Neurology (J.G., O.A., P.A., H.-P.H.), University Hospital, Medical Faculty Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany; Department of Neurology (J.M.), Palacky University, Olomouc, Czech Republic; Department of Neurology, Brain and Mind Centre (M.B., H.-P.H.), Department of Neurology, University of Sydney, New South Wales, Australia; and Department of Neurology (S.S.Z.), UCSF Weill Institute of Neurosciences, University of California at San Francisco.

Go to [Neurology.org/NN](https://www.neurology.org/NN) for full disclosures. Funding information is provided at the end of the article.

The Article Processing Charge was funded by Heinrich-Heine-Universität.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND), which permits downloading and sharing the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

Glossary

COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; **IgG** = immunoglobulin G; **NEMOS** = Neuromyelitis Optica Study Group; **MOG** = myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein; **MOGAD** = MOG IgG-associated disease; **NMOSD** = neuromyelitis optica spectrum disease; **PML** = progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy; **SARS-CoV2** = severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

NMOSD

Following identification of immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies to aquaporin 4 in some 75%–80% of patients, research in humans and animal models has implicated aberrant B-cell responses in the pathogenesis of neuromyelitis optica spectrum disease (NMOSD)^{1–4}. These include defects in central and peripheral tolerance mechanisms allowing emergence of pathogenic antibodies, impairment of B regulatory activity, heightened production of proinflammatory cytokines, and complement activation.

Rituximab

Relevant CD19⁺ and CD20⁺ B lymphocyte-depleting studies in NMOSD are summarized in the table. Most studies were observational and retrospective.^{5–7} Rituximab has long been considered a first-line treatment in NMOSD.⁸ This recommendation for many years relied on multiple smaller observational studies and expert opinion (Class IV evidence).^{9,10} Two recent meta-analyses analyzed 438⁵ and 577 patients⁶ from some 25 interpretable studies. The largest multicenter retrospective study from Italy included 73 patients. Two regimens were mostly applied: either 375 mg/m² weekly for 3 weeks or 1 g every 2 weeks twice. Rituximab reduces relapse frequency and neurologic disability but does not alter the frequencies of autoreactive B cells and does not reset defective early B-cell tolerance checkpoints.¹¹ B cells remain depleted in the circulation for up to 12 months. Bimonthly assessment of CD19/CD27-positive memory B cells was proposed to determine the time point for reintroduction of rituximab therapy.^{12–16} A multinational 14-center study reported real-world data on 67 children having aquaporin 4 antibody-positive NMOSD.¹⁷ They had a median follow-up of 4 years. Their mean age at onset was 10.2 years. Twenty-nine children received rituximab, half of them first line and one-third second line. In the entire group, the annualized relapse rate dropped from 2.5 to 0.14 on treatment. The patients on first-line rituximab treatment experienced no further relapse; 24% had further attacks. Two

patients were switched to ofatumumab due to severe infusion-related reactions. One child developed persistent neutropenia.¹⁷

Recently, a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial has been performed in Japan, proving its capacity to prevent relapses,¹⁸ which can be considered a breakthrough.¹⁹ Given the small size and some other methodological limitations, a larger, randomized, controlled phase 3 trial would need to be undertaken to validate these observations.

Strategies to individualize rituximab treatment by changing intervals of redosing rely on determining CD19-positive or CD19⁺ CD27⁺ memory B cells or switched memory B cells (CD19⁺/CD27⁺/IgM⁺/IgD⁺) as a percentage of peripheral blood mononuclear cells.^{13,20}

Inebilizumab

Inebilizumab is a glycoengineered, afucosylated anti-CD19 antibody that was specifically designed to increase affinity to FcγRIIA and thereby enhance antibody-dependent cytotoxicity.^{21,22} CD19 is more broadly expressed on cells of the B-cell lineage. Late-stage memory B cells and plasma-blasts carry CD19 on their surface but are CD20 negative. Hence, it was predicted that depleting CD19 carrying cells would affect pathogenic autoantibody production more markedly than targeting CD20 cells. In vitro assays examining antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity demonstrated B-cell depletion occurring at lower antibody concentrations than with rituximab.^{21,23} Studies in preclinical models and phase 1 trials in systemic sclerosis and MS provided evidence for effective CD19 B-cell depletion.^{23,24}

The N-MOMentum trial was the largest ever conducted in NMOSD. This international multicenter double-blind, randomized placebo-controlled phase 2/3 study with an open-label extension period investigated the safety and efficacy of this CD19-depleting monoclonal antibody in aquaporin 4 IgG-positive and -negative patients with NMOSD. Of 231 patients,

Table Trials of CD20- and CD19-depleting monoclonal antibodies for NMOSD

Trial	Rituximab (CD20 cell depletion)	Inebilizumab (CD19 cell depletion)
Phase 2/3	RIN-1 study (UMIN00013453) ¹⁸ vs placebo n = 38 participants, AQP4 IgG positive No participant on rituximab vs 37% of participants in the placebo group developed an attack Group difference 36.8%, 95% CI 12.3–65.5; log-rank <i>p</i> = 0.0058	N-Momentum (NCT02200770) ²⁵ vs placebo n = 230 participants, AQP4 IgG positive and negative 12% of participants receiving inebilizumab vs 39% of participants allocated to placebo developed an adjudicated attack Hazard ratio 0.272, 95% CI 0.150–0.496; <i>p</i> < 0.0001

Abbreviations: IgG = immunoglobulin G; NMOSD = neuromyelitis optica spectrum disease.

175 were randomized to inebilizumab and 56 to placebo. Ninety-two percent and 93% were aquaporin 4 IgG positive. The primary outcome was time to onset of an NMOSD attack determined by an adjudication committee. Secondary end points included worsening of Expanded Disability Status Scale from baseline, low-contrast visual acuity, cumulative number of active MR lesions (new gadolinium-enhancing or new or enlarging T2 lesions), and number of disease-related hospitalizations. Inebilizumab markedly reduced the risk of attacks, the main cause of disability in this crippling disease²⁵ (table). This trial was terminated early by an independent data-monitoring committee because of a clear demonstration of efficacy. Twelve percent of the patients receiving inebilizumab vs 39% of the patients receiving placebo encountered an attack ($p < 0.0001$; hazard ratio 0–272). Significant and robust B-cell depletion occurred within 4 weeks. Expanded Disability Status Scale worsening was less with inebilizumab; no difference was observed in low-contrast visual acuity, but post hoc analysis showed a lower risk of inebilizumab-treated patients to experience optic neuritis. Cumulative active MRI lesion count was lower in inebilizumab-treated participants as were NMOSD-related hospitalizations.²⁵

Adverse events occurred at similar frequency in both treatment arms. Thus, CD19 depletion is a well-validated treatment option for NMOSD.^{21–23,26} Further safety studies are needed as long-term CD19 depletion may be associated with an elevated risk of opportunistic infections.²⁷

Perspective

A phase 1 study of ublituximab as an add-on therapy to methylprednisolone in an acute relapse of NMOSD suggests that CD20 depletion is safe in this regime and may improve neurologic outcome.²⁸ Further placebo-controlled studies are to confirm these findings. Studies that emphasize the efficacy of anti-interleukin 6 receptor antibodies, e.g., sartralizumab and tocilizumab, underline the importance of interleukin 6 as a B cell-activating factor in NMOSD.^{29–31}

MOGAD

MOG, myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein, is a minor component of CNS myelin expressed on its outer layer. Conformation-dependent antibodies have been described in a number of CNS inflammatory disease, but recent evidence suggests that MOG IgG-associated disease (MOGAD), affecting children and adults, is a distinct clinical and pathologic entity with optic neuritis, myelitis, isolated brainstem, encephalitis, encephalopathic, or acute disseminated encephalomyelitis-like presentation. It runs a monophasic or more frequently relapsing course.^{1,32–38} Pathologically, inflammation, demyelination, and preservation of astrocytes have been observed. Studies on the pathogenicity of MOG IgG have been largely undertaken in rodent models where antibodies and autoreactive T cells in isolation or in concert induce injury.^{4,38–40} In 60% of 21 MOG IgG-positive patients, MOG-reactive B cells could be isolated.

They displayed a heterogeneous pattern of antibody production.⁴¹ In a flow cytometric study looking at B- and T-cell populations in 19 MOG IgG-positive patients, regulatory B cells were lower and memory B cells higher in number compared with controls.⁴² A range of immunosuppressive and immunomodulatory drugs have been used, among them rituximab, mostly to prevent further attacks.

In an EU Pediatric Demyelinating Disease Consortium study, 102 children received rituximab first, second, or third line. The majority continued to relapse despite effective peripheral B-cell depletion.⁴³ An Australian multicenter study of 33 children and 26 adult patients with relapsing MOG IgG-associated demyelination found 1 of 7 patients not responding to rituximab, although B cells were depleted. The German Neuromyelitis Optica Study Group (NEMOS) reported relapses in 6 of 9 patients receiving rituximab.³² In a prospective French study of 16 adult patients with MOG IgG, one-third of patients relapsed in the presence of less than 0.05% memory B cells.⁴⁴ In the largest international cohort retrospectively analyzing data from 121 patients, relapse rates on rituximab declined by 37%. After 2 years, 33% were predicted to remain relapse free.⁴⁵ Effect size was largest with first-line administration and higher in adult compared with pediatric patients. In summary, rituximab has shown efficacy in up to two-thirds of patients with MOGAD, but a sizable fraction continued to have attacks with full B-cell depletion.

CD20/CD19 B-cell depletion in MS, NMOSD, and MOGAD: adverse events and safety profiles

The biggest concerns with immune cell depletion therapies are the occurrence of serious infections, opportunistic infections, and malignancies as consequences of lymphopenia and impaired lymphocyte function.⁴⁶

Three controlled phase 2 and phase 2/3 trials and retrospective series are available for determining the safety of rituximab in MS,⁴⁶ NMOSD, and MOGAD. Overall, rituximab was well tolerated apart from infusion-related reactions, which tended to diminish in severity with repeat infusion. The largest observational study with a retrospective design comes from Sweden where rituximab has been commonly used as preferred high-efficacy disease-modifying treatment of MS. In 2016, safety results from 822 rituximab-treated patients (557 relapsing-remitting, 198 secondary progressive, and 67 primary progressive MS) were reported.⁴⁷ Again, infusion-related reactions were the most frequent adverse events occurring during 7.8% of infusions. They were usually mild. Importantly, 76 infections developed in 72 patients. A 2-center retrospective study from the United States recognized infections at a rate of 38.6/1,000 patient-years in 907 patients studied.⁴⁸ A comparative study of infection risks among patients on various disease-modifying agents again from the Swedish national MS registry cohort

associated rituximab use with the highest incidence of infections.⁴⁹ Most common were upper respiratory and lower urinary tract infections and pneumonia. In this study of 3,260 patients receiving rituximab, 2 cases of carry-over progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML) were diagnosed. In a study from Finland of 72 patients with MS, rituximab caused severe neutropenia in 2 requiring discontinuation.⁵⁰ In the US study referred to above, neutropenia of less than 500 cells/mm³ was noted in 1.2% of patients on rituximab.⁴⁸ The same group of investigators observed low values of IgG evolving over a mean treatment period of 31.1 months and a mean cumulative dose of 4,012 mg in 6%.⁴⁸

When the FDA Adverse Event Reporting Database was recently interrogated,⁵¹ 623 and 7,984 reports for rituximab and ocrelizumab, respectively, were identified. Serious adverse events were more commonly reported for rituximab as were adverse events related to blood, lymphatic, and immune system. On the other hand, infections, although mild or moderate such as nasopharyngitis and upper respiratory tract infection, were twice as frequent with ocrelizumab whose safety profile was in line with published data. The authors of this report speculated about a different or more extensive depletion of B cells by ocrelizumab underlying these observations but also admitted a number of methodological limitations⁵¹ inherent in interpreting data from such a registry.

Linking data from the Swedish national MS registry and Swedish Cancer registry, Alping et al.⁵² did not find a higher incidence of invasive cancers in 4,187 first-ever treated rituximab MS patients. These data are in line with the long-term experience of over 11 years in the Rheumatoid Arthritis Global Clinical Trial Program that enrolled 3,595 patients receiving a mean of 4 courses.⁵³

In essence, safety data for rituximab in NMOSD are comparable. A systematic review and meta-analysis of 46 studies published between 2000 and 2015 encompassing 438 patients found infusion-related adverse events in 10.3%, infections in 9.1%, persistent leukopenia in 4.6%, and posterior reversible encephalopathy in 0.5%.⁵ A single-center prospective observational study from Milan recorded serious infections in 5 of 21 patients who had leukopenia and hypogammaglobulinemia.¹⁰

Regarding ocrelizumab, the safety profile has recently been systematically reviewed.⁵⁴ Data on longer-term side effects, particularly relating to reactivation of infections and induction of malignancies following completion of the pivotal trials, have been presented at conferences and in a recent report of the 5-year open-label extension pooled analysis of the twin OPERA (Study of Ocrelizumab in Comparison With Interferon Beta-1a (Rebif) in Participants With Relapsing Multiple Sclerosis) studies in relapsing MS.⁵⁵ These analyses did not identify new safety signals among the approximately 150,000 patients who had been treated with ocrelizumab worldwide (180,000 patient-years) (Roche, data on file, March 2, 2020).⁵⁶ In the pivotal clinical trials, 11 cancer cases occurred in patients with PPMS on ocrelizumab in

the placebo-controlled phase. These included 4 cases of breast cancer and 3 cases of basal cell carcinoma, as well as 1 case each of endometrioid adenocarcinoma, anaplastic large cell lymphoma, malignant fibrous histiocytoma, and pancreatic carcinoma.

The open-label extension study reported 1 case of basal cell carcinoma and 1 case of squamous cell carcinoma. The placebo group included 1 basal cell carcinoma and 1 cervical adenocarcinoma *in situ*.⁵⁷ Among patients with relapsing MS receiving ocrelizumab, there were 2 cases of breast cancer, 1 renal cell carcinoma, and 1 malignant melanoma compared with the placebo group, which reported 1 mantle cell carcinoma and 1 squamous cell carcinoma case. The ocrelizumab open-label extension study reported 2 cases of breast cancer, 2 cases of basal cell carcinoma, and 1 case of malignant melanoma.⁵⁸ A more recent analysis of 5,051 patients who received ocrelizumab in controlled trials and open-label extensions for up to 6.5 years presented the latest available data set at the 2020 EAN Meeting. Thirty-six patients with breast cancer (benign, malignant, and nonspecified) were recorded and 4 patients with malignant melanoma. Single cases of other malignancies were observed. However, these rates were in the range of incidence data from the Danish MS Registry and the US National Cancer Institute SEER (Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results) general population database and hence do not signify a specific malignancy signal associated with ocrelizumab.⁵⁸

In the phase 3 trials, patients with relapsing MS treated with ocrelizumab, compared with interferon beta-1a, exhibited a higher incidence of varicella zoster infections (17 vs 8, respectively⁷⁸), and patients with PPMS receiving ocrelizumab, compared with placebo, had a higher prevalence of oral herpes infections (2.3% vs 0.4%, respectively).⁵⁸ Case reports highlight the relevance of hepatitis reactivation in the setting of CD20 cell depletion^{59,60} and emphasize the need for and the benefits of patient risk stratification before the start of therapy.^{e1}

Similarly to its precursor, the chimeric CD20 monoclonal antibody rituximab,^{e2} the postauthorization use of ocrelizumab increased the risk of developing late-onset neutropenia,^{e3,e4} tumefactive demyelinating lesions,^{e5} and PML. As of January 31, 2020, when 150,000 patients were on ocrelizumab worldwide, 9 confirmed PML cases were reported.^{54,57,58} Eight patients developed PML on ocrelizumab after switching from previous disease-modifying therapies, while to date, only 1 case of non-carry-over PML has been reported in an elderly patient who had low T lymphocyte counts before starting ocrelizumab. He finally passed away.^{e6} Isolated case reports of herpes simplex type 2 encephalitis,^{e7} B19 parvovirus infection,^{e8} and 2 cases of meningitis^{e9} have been published in patients treated with ocrelizumab.^{e9} Ocrelizumab therapy is unlikely to be associated with an elevated risk of tuberculosis infection.^{e10} Secondary immunoglobulin deficiency can precipitate serious infections.^{e11} A review investigating potential associations of B-cell depletion treatment with rituximab and the risk of developing hypogammaglobulinemia or infection failed to identify any significant risk factors.^{e12} Ongoing data analyses examine the incidence

over time of hypogammaglobulinemia in patients treated with ocrelizumab. Over the 5 years of the double-blind pooled OP-ERA trials in relapsing MS and their open-label extension up to 5 years, IgG levels were lowered below lower limit of normal in 5.4%, IgA in 5.1%, and IgM in 29.5% of patients.⁵⁵ A drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms after ocrelizumab therapy has also been described.^{e13}

There were no specific safety concerns (i.e., spontaneous abortions or fetal malformations) in pregnant women who underwent CD20 depletion with rituximab during the first 6 months of pregnancy.^{e14} A current study suggests that monoclonal antibodies may be safe in breastfeeding.^{e15} Although a retrospective analysis of patients with neuroimmunologic diseases who underwent rituximab therapy over a period of 7 years^{e16} and a meta-analysis of patients with rheumatoid arthritis^{e17} confirm rituximab's good safety profile, the effects of long-term B-cell depletion still remain unclear.

A retrospective study demonstrated that the incidence of infusion-associated reactions was significantly reduced when histamine antagonists and oral fluid were also administered.^{e18} There are very limited data available on the intrathecal administration of CD20 B cell-depleting monoclonal antibodies.^{e19}

Given the aggregate evidence, it makes sense to perform regular clinical surveillance including routine blood work of patients with MS undergoing CD20 cell-depleting therapies.^{e20–e22}

Implications of the COVID-19/SARS-CoV2 pandemic

There is concern that B cell-depleting agents may increase the risk of viral diseases, including severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV2)/coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Rituximab has in the past not been associated with an elevated risk of developing viral infections.^{e23} Initially, evidence suggested there was not a more severe course of COVID-19 in ocrelizumab-treated patients with MS.^{e24} This is based on a pharmacovigilance analysis conducted by Roche. As of 30 April 2020, 26 suspected and 74 confirmed cases of patients with MS on ocrelizumab contracting SARS-CoV2 were identified. The great majority were asymptomatic, mild, or moderately affected and 64 of 64 patients fully recovered.⁷⁷

In line with these observations are a case report of a patient with MS receiving ocrelizumab who had a mild COVID-19 infection^{e25} and a case series of 60 patients under CD20 depletion from Spain.^{e26} A recently published large case series from France found that rituximab- and ocrelizumab-treated patients with MS who got infected with SARS-CoV2 have a milder course of COVID-19.^{e27} However, a cross-sectional survey in Iran revealed that B-cell depletion may increase the susceptibility to contracting COVID-19.^{e28} A recent large scale study of some 200 people with MS and confirmed COVID-19 infection from Italy noted a higher infection frequency among patients on anti-CD20 monoclonal

antibody therapy and a more severe course.^{e29} Of interest, a patient with MS on fingolimod who developed a severe COVID-19 infection benefitted from short-term interleukin 6 receptor blockage with tocilizumab.^{e30} Practitioners may consider a temporary delay of lymphocyte-depleting therapies in patients with MS.^{e31} Clearly, management of inflammatory demyelinating CNS diseases with immunomodulatory and immunosuppressive treatments needs to take into account the potential impact of COVID-19, and it will be important to explore responsiveness to SARS-CoV2 vaccines in these patients.^{e36,e37} The implications of the current pandemic on neurologic diseases have recently been discussed.^{e32,e33}

Open issues and conclusion

Regarding MS, further studies are needed to better understand the mode of action and safety profile of (long-term) CD20 depletion. Publication of the 2 completed phase 3 trials of ofatumumab in MS may provide crucial evidence as it is still unknown whether a complete B-cell depletion is necessary for a therapeutic effect. Oral Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitors may be a promising therapy in the future, but phase 3 studies must prove a beneficial effect on clinical parameters. Today, it remains uncertain if CD19 depletion may be an option in patients with MS not responding to CD20 depletion.^{24,e34} Of interest, 1 report suggested that cladribine preferentially depletes B cells producing only a modest diminution of circulating T cells.^{e35}

Regarding NMOSD, the CD19 cell-depleting antibody inebilizumab is the first B cell-directed treatment licensed. It enlarges the therapeutic arsenal for this disabling disease. Controlled trials are desirable in MOGAD. Clearly, for both conditions, development of evidence-based treatment algorithms is highly desirable.

In summary, much has been achieved in the treatment of MS, NMOSD, and MOGAD in recent years. The approval of ocrelizumab constituted another important step toward the effective treatment of patients with MS. Ofatumumab has just been licensed for the treatment of relapsing forms of MS in the United States and is expected to receive market authorization in the EU early 2021. Importantly, ocrelizumab is the first drug shown to be effective in the treatment of PPMS.

Rigorous pharmacovigilance, analysis of registry data, and the results of phase 3b and 4 trials and real-world data are indispensable. There is also a strong case for further mechanistic studies to clarify the effects of CD19 and CD20 B-cell depletion. Ublituximab and kinase inhibitors are promising new immunotherapies, which may open a path toward more individualized treatment of MS and related inflammatory demyelinating disorders of the CNS.

Study funding

No targeted funding reported.

Disclosure

J. Graf received conference and educational support from Biogen, Merck Serono, Sanofi Genzyme, and Grifols and a research fellowship from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (project number 438899010). J. Mares reports no conflicts. M. Barnett has received institutional support for research, speaking, and/or participation in advisory boards for Biogen, Merck, Novartis, Roche, and Sanofi Genzyme; is a consulting neurologist for RxMx; and is Research Director for the Sydney Neuroimaging Analysis Centre. O. Aktas received, with approval of the Rector of Heinrich Heine University, grants from the German Research Foundation (DFG), the German Ministry for Education and Research (BMBF) as part of the German Competence Network Multiple Sclerosis (KKNMS; for NEMOS NationNMO-PAT FKZ 01GI1602B), and the Eugène Devic European Network (EU-FP7) and honoraria and travel/accommodation/meeting expenses from Almirall, Bayer, Biogen, MedImmune, Merck Serono, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi Genzyme, and Teva. P. Albrecht received, with approval of the Rector of Heinrich Heine University and the CEO of University of Düsseldorf Hospital, personal fees, research grants, and nonfinancial support from Allergan, Biogen, Celgene, Ipsen, Merck Serono, Merz Pharmaceuticals, Novartis, and Roche and personal fees and nonfinancial support from Bayer HealthCare and Sanofi-Aventis/Genzyme, outside the submitted work. S.S. Zamvil is Deputy Editor of *Neurology: Neuroimmunology and Neuroinflammation* and is an Associate Editor for *Frontiers in Immunology* and *Frontiers in Neurology*. He serves on the Advisory Committee for the American Congress on Treatment and Research in Multiple Sclerosis (ACTRIMS) and on the grant review committee for the National Multiple Sclerosis Society (NMSS). He has served as a consultant and received honoraria from Biogen Idec, EMD-Serono, Genzyme, Novartis, Roche/Genentech, and Teva Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and has served on Data Safety Monitoring Boards for Lilly, BioMS, Teva, and Opexa Therapeutics. He receives research grant support from the NIH, NMSS, Weill Institute, Race to Erase MS, and the Maisin Foundation. H.-P. Hartung received honoraria for serving on steering and data-monitoring committees, adboards, and speaking at scientific symposia from Bayer HealthCare, Biogen, Celgene BMS, GeNeuro, MedImmune, Merck, Novartis, Roche, Teva, TG Therapeutics, and Viela Bio with the approval of the Rector of Heinrich Heine University. Go to [Neurology.org/NN](https://www.neurology.org/NN) for full disclosures.

Publication history

Received by *Neurology: Neuroimmunology & Neuroinflammation* August 3, 2020. Accepted in final form September 11, 2020.

Appendix Authors

Name	Location	Contribution
Jonas Graf, MD	Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany	Review of the concept/ design and drafting of the manuscript

Appendix (continued)

Name	Location	Contribution
Jan Mares, MD	Palacky University, Olomouc, Czech Republic	Drafting and revision of the manuscript
Michael Barnett, MD, PhD	University of Sydney, Australia	Drafting and revision of the manuscript
Orhan Aktas, MD	Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany	Drafting and revision of the manuscript
Philipp Albrecht, MD	Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany	Revision of the manuscript
Scott S. Zamvil, MD, PhD	UCSF, San Francisco, USA	Revision of the manuscript
Hans-Peter Hartung, MD, PhD, FRCP	Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany, and University of Sydney, Australia	Review of the concept/ design and drafting and revision of the manuscript

References

- Fujiwara K, Cook LJ. Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders and myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease: current topics. *Curr Opin Neurol* 2020;33:300–308.
- Bennett JL, O'Connor KC, Bar-Or A, et al. B lymphocytes in neuromyelitis optica. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2015;2:e104. doi: 10.1212/NXI.0000000000000104.
- Cotzomi E, Stathopoulos P, Lee CS, et al. Early B cell tolerance defects in neuromyelitis optica favour anti-AQP4 autoantibody production. *Brain* 2019;142:1598–1615.
- Mader S, Kumpfel T, Meinl E. Novel insights into pathophysiology and therapeutic possibilities reveal further differences between AQP4-IgG- and MOG-IgG-associated diseases. *Curr Opin Neurol* 2020;33:362–371.
- Damato V, Evoli A, Iorio R. Efficacy and safety of rituximab therapy in neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Neurol* 2016;73:1342–1348.
- Gao F, Chai B, Gu C, et al. Effectiveness of rituximab in neuromyelitis optica: a meta-analysis. *BMC Neurol* 2019;19:36.
- Annovazzi P, Capobianco M, Muiola L, et al. Rituximab in the treatment of neuromyelitis optica: a multicentre Italian observational study. *J Neurol* 2016;263:1727–1735.
- Trebst C, Jarius S, Berthele A, et al. Update on the diagnosis and treatment of neuromyelitis optica: recommendations of the Neuromyelitis Optica Study Group (NEMOS). *J Neurol* 2014;261:1–16.
- Cabre P, Mejdoubi M, Jeannin S, et al. Treatment of neuromyelitis optica with rituximab: a 2-year prospective multicenter study. *J Neurol* 2018;265:917–925.
- Radaelli M, Muiola L, Sangalli F, et al. Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders: long-term safety and efficacy of rituximab in Caucasian patients. *Mult Scler* 2016;22:511–519.
- Chamberlain N, Massad C, Oe T, Cantaert T, Herold KC, Meffre E. Rituximab does not reset defective early B cell tolerance checkpoints. *J Clin Invest* 2016;126:282–287.
- Kim SH, Huh SY, Lee SJ, Joung A, Kim HJ. A 5-year follow-up of rituximab treatment in patients with neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder. *JAMA Neurol* 2013;70:1110–1117.
- Kim SH, Hyun JW, Kim HJ. Individualized B cell-targeting therapy for neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder. *Neurochem Int* 2019;130:104347.
- Cohen M, Romero G, Bas J, et al. Monitoring CD27+ memory B-cells in neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders patients treated with rituximab: results from a bicentric study. *J Neurol Sci* 2017;373:335–338.
- Evangelopoulos ME, Andreadou E, Koutsis G, et al. Treatment of neuromyelitis optica and neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders with rituximab using a maintenance treatment regimen and close CD19 B cell monitoring. A six-year follow-up. *J Neurol Sci* 2017;372:92–96.
- Novi G, Bovis F, Capobianco M, et al. Efficacy of different rituximab therapeutic strategies in patients with neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2019;36:101430.
- Paolino RB, Hacohen Y, Yazbeck E, et al. Treatment and outcome of aquaporin-4 antibody-positive NMOSD: a multinational pediatric study. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2020;7:e387. doi: 10.1212/NXI.0000000000000104.

18. Tahara M, Oeda T, Okada K, et al. Safety and efficacy of rituximab in neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders (RIN-1 study): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2020;19:298–306.
19. Hartung HP, Aktas O. Old and new breakthroughs in neuromyelitis optica. *Lancet Neurol* 2020;19:280–281.
20. Trewin BP, Adelstein S, Spies JM, et al. Precision therapy for neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder: a retrospective analysis of the use of class-switched memory B-cells for individualised rituximab dosing schedules. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2020;43:102175.
21. Herbst R, Wang Y, Gallagher S, et al. B-cell depletion in vitro and in vivo with an afucosylated anti-CD19 antibody. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2010;335:213–222.
22. Ward E, Mittereder N, Kuta E, et al. A glycoengineered anti-CD19 antibody with potent antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity activity in vitro and lymphoma growth inhibition in vivo. *Br J Haematol* 2011;155:426–437.
23. Chen D, Gallagher S, Monson NL, Herbst R, Wang Y. Inebilizumab, a B Cell-Depleting anti-CD19 antibody for the treatment of autoimmune neurological diseases: insights from preclinical studies. *J Clin Med* 2016;5:107.
24. Agius MA, Klodowska-Duda G, Maciejowski M, et al. Safety and tolerability of inebilizumab (MEDI-551), an anti-CD19 monoclonal antibody, in patients with relapsing forms of multiple sclerosis: results from a phase 1 randomised, placebo-controlled, escalating intravenous and subcutaneous dose study. *Mult Scler* 2019;25:235–245.
25. Cree BAC, Bennett JL, Kim HJ, et al. Inebilizumab for the treatment of neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (N-MOMentum): a double-blind, randomised placebo-controlled phase 2/3 trial. *Lancet* 2019;394:1352–1363.
26. Gallagher S, Turman S, Yusuf I, et al. Pharmacological profile of MEDI-551, a novel anti-CD19 antibody, in human CD19 transgenic mice. *Int Immunopharmacol* 2016;36:205–212.
27. Stüve O, Warnke C, Deason K, et al. CD19 as a molecular target in CNS autoimmunity. *Acta Neuropathol* 2014;128:177–190.
28. Mealy MA, Levy M. A pilot safety study of ublituximab, a monoclonal antibody against CD20, in acute relapses of neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2019;98:e15944.
29. Traboulsee A, Greenberg BM, Bennett JL, et al. Safety and efficacy of satralizumab monotherapy in neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder: a randomised, double-blind, multicentre, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2020;19:402–412.
30. Zhang C, Zhang M, Qiu W, et al. Safety and efficacy of tocilizumab versus azathioprine in highly relapsing neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (TANGO): an open-label, multicentre, randomised, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2020;19:391–401.
31. Bennett JL, Greenberg B, Traboulsee A, et al. Efficacy of satralizumab as monotherapy in pre-specified subgroups of sakurastar, a double-blind placebo-controlled phase 3 clinical study in patients with neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD). *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2020;37:101576.
32. Jarius S, Ruprecht K, Kleiter I, et al. MOG-IgG in NMO and related disorders: a multicenter study of 50 patients. Part 2: Epidemiology, clinical presentation, radiological and laboratory features, treatment responses, and long-term outcome. *J Neuroinflammation* 2016;13:280.
33. Papatthanasou A, Tanasescu R, Davis J, et al. MOG-IgG-associated demyelination: focus on atypical features, brain histopathology and concomitant autoimmunity. *J Neurol* 2020;267:359–368.
34. Höftberger R, Guo Y, Flanagan EP, et al. The pathology of central nervous system inflammatory demyelinating disease accompanying myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein autoantibody. *Acta Neuropathol* 2020;139:875–892.
35. Jurczyk M, Messina S, Woodhall MR, et al. Clinical presentation and prognosis in MOG-antibody disease: a UK study. *Brain* 2017;140:3128–3138.
36. Takai Y, Misu T, Kaneko K, et al. Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease: an immunopathological study. *Brain* 2020;143:1431–1446.
37. Ciotti JR, Eby NS, Wu GF, Naismith RT, Chahin S, Cross AH. Clinical and laboratory features distinguishing MOG antibody disease from multiple sclerosis and AQP4 antibody-positive neuromyelitis optica. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2020;45:102399.
38. Spadaro M, Winkmeier S, Beltrán E, et al. Pathogenicity of human antibodies against myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein. *Ann Neurol* 2018;84:315–328.
39. Reindl M, Waters P. Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibodies in neurological disease. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2019;15:89–102.
40. Peschl P, Bradl M, Höftberger R, Berger T, Reindl M. Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein: deciphering a target in inflammatory demyelinating diseases. *Front Immunol* 2017;8:529.
41. Winkmeier S, Schlüter M, Spadaro M, et al. Identification of circulating MOG-specific B cells in patients with MOG antibodies. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2019;6:625. doi: 10.1212/NXI.000000000000104.
42. Li X, Wang L, Zhou L, et al. The imbalance between regulatory and memory B cells accompanied by an increased number of circulating T-follicular helper cells in MOG-antibody-associated demyelination. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2019;36:101397.
43. Hachohen Y, Wong YY, Lechner C, et al. Disease course and treatment responses in children with relapsing myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease. *JAMA Neurol* 2018;75:478–487.
44. Durozard P, Rico A, Boutiere C, et al. Comparison of the response to rituximab between myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein and aquaporin-4 antibody diseases. *Ann Neurol* 2020;87:256–266.
45. Whittam DH, Cobo-Calvo A, Lopez-Chiriboga AS, et al. Treatment of MOG-IgG-associated disorder with rituximab: an international study of 121 patients. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2020;44:102251.
46. Winkelmann A, Loebermann M, Reisinger EC, Hartung HP, Zettl UK. Disease-modifying therapies and infectious risks in multiple sclerosis. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2016;12:217–233.
47. Salzer J, Svenningsson R, Alping P, et al. Rituximab in multiple sclerosis: a retrospective observational study on safety and efficacy. *Neurology* 2016;87:2074–2081.
48. Vollmer BL, Wallach AJ, Corboy JR, Dubovskaya K, Alvarez E, Kister I. Serious safety events in rituximab-treated multiple sclerosis and related disorders. *Ann Clin Transl Neurol* 2020;7:1477–1487.
49. Luna G, Alping P, Burman J, et al. Infection risks among patients with multiple sclerosis treated with fingolimod, natalizumab, rituximab, and injectable therapies. *JAMA Neurol* 2020;77:184–191.
50. Airas L, Nylund M, Mannonen I, Matilainen M, Sucksdorff M, Rissanen E. Rituximab in the treatment of multiple sclerosis in the hospital district of southwest Finland. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2020;40:101980.
51. Caldito NG, Shirani A, Salter A, Stuve O. Adverse event profile differences between rituximab and ocrelizumab: findings from the FDA adverse event reporting database. *Mult Scler* 2020. doi: 10.1177/1352458520949986.
52. Alping P, Asklund J, Burman J, et al. Cancer risk for fingolimod, natalizumab, and rituximab in multiple sclerosis patients. *Ann Neurol* 2020;87:688–699.
53. van Vollenhoven RF, Fleischmann RM, Furst DE, Lacey S, Lehane PB. Longterm safety of rituximab: final report of the rheumatoid arthritis global clinical trial program over 11 years. *J Rheumatol* 2015;42:1761–1766.
54. Ng HS, Rosenbult CL, Tremlett H. Safety profile of ocrelizumab for the treatment of multiple sclerosis: a systematic review. *Expert Opin Drug Saf* 2020;19:1069–1094.
55. Hauser SL, Kappos L, Arnold DL, et al. Five-years of ocrelizumab in relapsing multiple sclerosis: OPERA studies open-label extension. *Neurology* 2020;95:e1854–e1867.
56. Hauser SL, Kappos L, Montalban X, et al. Safety of ocrelizumab in multiple sclerosis: updated analysis in patients with relapsing and primary progressive multiple sclerosis. Presented at the 70th American Academy of Neurology (AAN) Annual Meeting; April 21–27, 2018; Los Angeles, CA, USA; Platform presentation number S36.001 [online]. Available at: ocroposters.com/uploads/AAN%202018%20General%20Safety_Platform_Global_Hauser%20et%20al_Updated.pdf. Accessed June 15, 2020.
57. Montalban X, Hauser SL, Kappos L, et al. Ocrelizumab versus placebo in primary progressive multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2017;376:209–220.
58. Hauser SL, Kappos L, Montalban X, et al. Safety of ocrelizumab in multiple sclerosis: updated analysis in patients with relapsing and primary progressive multiple sclerosis. Presented at the 6th Congress of the European Academy of Neurology (EAN) [online]. Available at: medically.roche.com/en/search/pdfviewer.ed54f34c-1b9b-461a-82ed-dac932793643.html?cid=slpsxx2005nexusan2020. Accessed June 15, 2020.
59. Ciardi MR, Iannetta M, Zingaropoli MA, et al. Reactivation of hepatitis B virus with immune-escape mutations after ocrelizumab treatment for multiple sclerosis. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2019;6:ofy356.
60. Nicolini LA, Canepa P, Caligiuri P, et al. Fulminant hepatitis associated with echovirus 25 during treatment with ocrelizumab for multiple sclerosis. *JAMA Neurol* 2019;76:866–867.

Additional e-references (e1–e37) available at: links.lww.com/NXI/A347.

Neurology® Neuroimmunology & Neuroinflammation

Targeting B cells to modify MS, NMOSD, and MOGAD: Part 2

Jonas Graf, Jan Mares, Michael Barnett, et al.

Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm 2021;8;

DOI 10.1212/NXI.0000000000000919

This information is current as of December 16, 2020

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://nn.neurology.org/content/8/1/e919.full.html
References	This article cites 57 articles, 4 of which you can access for free at: http://nn.neurology.org/content/8/1/e919.full.html##ref-list-1
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): HIV http://nn.neurology.org/cgi/collection/hiv
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://nn.neurology.org/misc/about.xhtml#permissions
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://nn.neurology.org/misc/addir.xhtml#reprintsus

Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm is an official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published since April 2014, it is an open-access, online-only, continuous publication journal. Copyright Copyright © 2020 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the American Academy of Neurology.. All rights reserved. Online ISSN: 2332-7812.