The Calm Between Storms

Serum Biomarkers in Assessing Interattack Astrocytopathy in Neuromyelitis Optica Spectrum Disorder

Carolyn Goldschmidt, DO, and Robert A. Bermel, MD

Correspondence
Dr. Bermel
bermelr@ccf.org

Cytoskeletal structural proteins, released when cellular damage occurs, are biomarker candidates under investigation for many neurologic diseases. Among the potential markers, neurofilament light chain (NfL), expressed in neurons, and glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), expressed in astrocytes, can now be measured in the serum (sNfL and sGFAP) thanks to advances in highly sensitive analytic methodologies, with strong correlation to CSF levels. Early studies of sNfL and sGFAP in MS and neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD) focus on potential roles as biomarkers of treatment response, risk for relapse, and risk for disability progression.[1-4] Not surprisingly, levels of sGFAP increase during NMOSD relapses, as the disease process is primarily an astrocytopathy mediated by AQP4-IgG.[4,5] More complex questions include how these levels change in between relapses, during disease stability, and if this could be useful in determining treatment response, predicting disability progression, or guiding therapy.

In this issue of *Neurology: Neuroimmunology and Neuroinflammation*, Hyun et al describe their study measuring sGFAP and sNfL in both participants with active and stable NMOSD and healthy controls. They found that sGFAP and sNfL levels were significantly elevated during clinical attacks, but quickly decreased to interattack levels that were below the cutoff value, which was determined during the study using the healthy controls.[6] This trend was steeper for sGFAP levels compared with sNfL. An important feature of the Hyun study is that all 20 participants with NMOSD were treated with rituximab using a standard protocol for the duration of follow-up. The authors conclude that subclinical astrocyte damage, as measured by sGFAP, rarely occurs during interattack periods in individuals with treated NMOSD.

This result is somewhat in contrast to results of a recent study by Wantanabe et al, which found that both sNfL and sGFAP levels were higher in participants with NMOSD than healthy controls, even during disease remission; however, in a more heterogeneous study group, some of whom were not on any treatment.[4] Additional challenges of comparing across studies relate to the technical features of assays, which are pushing the limits of detection to achieve sufficient sensitivity to reliably measure these proteins in the peripheral blood.

Important limitations of the Hyun study are that we cannot tell if sampling more frequently than every 3 months would detect interattack subclinical elevations in sGFAP or more accurately characterize the beginning of an attack. The study used retrospectively assigned Expanded Disability Status Scale scores via chart review, which is not likely the most accurate or sensitive measure of clinical status. The results should only be generalized to patients on treatment with rituximab. The population studied is exclusively Korean, and conceivably phylogenetic differences could lead to different disease behavior in different populations.

The clinical course of NMOSD would suggest that there is not strong evidence for a progressive, degenerative phase of the disease independent of relapses, like is seen in MS, although

data are mixed.[7-9] It would be reasonable to surmise therefore that large amounts of subclinical damage do not occur between attacks. However, as our imaging and laboratory measurement capabilities advance and our range of therapeutic options expand, there may be value in detecting and controlling interattack disease activity if it can be appreciated at a cellular level and its consequences defined. For now, it is useful to be able to visualize the stability of these biomarkers in between relapses and their significant increase during relapses.

Studies such as this represent important milestones in the search for accessible serum biomarkers of disease activity or response to therapy in NMOSD. The promise of using serum biomarkers to potentially predict NMOSD relapses before clinical manifestations certainly has appeal. Previous studies have shown that sNfL levels increase just before clinical events in MS, and it is known that sNFL and sGFAP are greatly elevated during acute NMOSD attacks.[5,10] In NMOSD, the utility of predictive biomarkers is particularly appealing. Even with early accurate diagnosis and effective preventive therapy, disabling relapses remain all too common in NMOSD. MRI surveillance does not effectively identify subclinical disease activity; therefore, imaging monitoring for disease activity outside of clinical attacks is challenging. The ability to predict and preempt a relapse, with an even lower threshold to escalate treatment if needed, could change the NMOSD disease course and potential disability accrual. For this task, measurement of cytoskeletal elements alone may be insufficient, and discovery of such biomarkers may require looking at other immunologic or cellular modulators of disease activity. Because of the devastating and permanent disability that can accompany NMOSD attacks, the potential benefit of overtreatment outweighs the risk of undertreating this disease. One blood draw per month to potentially preserve vision or mobility could be a game changer.

References

1. Canto E, Barro C, Zhao C, et al. Association between serum neurofilament light chain levels and long-term disease course among patients with multiple sclerosis followed up for 12 years. JAMA Neurol 2019;76(11):1359–1356.
2. Kapoor R, Smith KE, Allegretta M, et al. Serum neurofilament light as a biomarker in progressive multiple sclerosis. Neurology 2020;95(10):436–444.
3. Manouchehrinia A, Stridh P, Khademi M, et al. Plasma neurofilament light levels are associated with risk of disability in multiple sclerosis. Neurology 2020;94(23): e2457–e2467.
4. Watanabe M, Nakamura Y, Michalak Z, et al. Serum GFAP and neurofilament light as biomarkers of disease activity and disability in NMOSD. Neurology 2019;93(13): e1299–e1311.
5. Takano R, Misu T, Takahashi T, Sato S, Fujihara K, Itoyama Y. Astrocytic damage is far more severe than demyelination in NMO: a clinical CSF biomarker study. Neurology 2010;75(3):208–216.
6. Hyun JW, Kim Y, Kim SY, Lee MY, Kim SH, Kim HJ. Investigating the presence of interattack astrocyte damage in NMOSD: longitudinal analysis of serum GFAP. Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm 2021;8(3):e965. doi: 10.1212/NXL0000000000000965.
7. Wingerchuk DM, Pittock SJ, Lucchinetti CF, Lennon VA, Weinshenker BG. A secondary progressive clinical course is uncommon in neuromyelitis optica. Neurology 2007;68(8):603–605.
8. Pisa M, Ratti F, Vabanesi M, et al. Subclinical neurodegeneration in multiple sclerosis and neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder revealed by optical coherence tomography. Mult Scler 2020;26(10):1197–1206.
9. Kawachi I, Lassmann H. Neurodegeneration in multiple sclerosis and neuromyelitis optica. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2017;88(2):137–145.
10. Bjornevik K, Munger KL, Cortese M, et al. Serum neurofilament light chain levels in patients with presymptomatic multiple sclerosis. JAMA Neurol 2020;77(1):58–64.

Neurology®
Neuroimmunology
& Neuroinflammation

This information is current as of April 9, 2021

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://nn.neurology.org/content/8/3/e988.full.html
References	This article cites 10 articles, 2 of which you can access for free at: http://nn.neurology.org/content/8/3/e988.full.html##ref-list-1
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): **Devic's syndrome** http://nn.neurology.org//cgi/collection/devics_syndrome
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures,tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://nn.neurology.org/misc/about.xhtml#permissions
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://nn.neurology.org/misc/addir.xhtml#reprintsus

AMERICAN ACADEMY OF
NEUROLOGY®