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Abstract

Background and Objectives
The presence of HIV in the CNS has been related to chronic immune activation and cognitive dysfunction. The aim of this work was to investigate (1) the presence of neuroinflammation in aviremic people with HIV (PWH) on therapy and in nontreated aviremic PWH (elite controllers [ECs]) using a translocator protein 18 kDa radioligand; (2) the relationship between neuroinflammation and cognitive function in aviremic PWH; and (3) the relationship between [11C]-PBR28 signal and quantitative MRI (qMRI) measures of brain tissue integrity such as T1 and T2 relaxation times (rt).

Methods
[11C]-PBR28 (standard uptake value ratio, SUVR) images were generated in 36 participants (14 PWH, 6 ECs, and 16 healthy controls) using a statistically defined pseudoreference region. Group comparisons of [11C]-PBR28 SUVR were performed using region of interest–based and voxelwise analyses. The relationship between inflammation, qMRI measures, and cognitive function was studied.

Results
In region of interest analyses, ECs exhibited significantly lower [11C]-PBR28 signal in the thalamus, putamen, superior temporal gyrus, prefrontal cortex, and cerebellum compared with the PWH. In voxelwise analyses, differences were observed in the thalamus, precuneus cortex, inferior temporal gyrus, occipital cortex, cerebellum, and white matter (WM). [11C]-PBR28 signal in the WM and superior temporal gyrus was related to processing speed and selective attention in PWH. In a subset of PWH (n = 12), [11C]-PBR28 signal in the thalamus and WM regions was related to a decrease in T2 rt and to an increase in T1 rt suggesting a colocalization of increased glial metabolism, decrease in microstructural integrity, and iron accumulation.

Discussion
This study casts a new light onto the role of neuroinflammation and related microstructural alterations of HIV infection in the CNS and shows that ECs suppress neuroinflammation more effectively than PWH on therapy.

*These authors contributed equally to this work as co-first authors.
†These authors contributed equally to this work as co-last authors.
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The prognosis of HIV infection has dramatically improved in the combined antiretroviral therapy (cART) era. Nevertheless, HIV-infected patients have the persistence of the virus in specific body reservoirs (sanctuaries) and in resting memory CD4 T cells, despite optimal treatment and undetectable blood viral load. One of those HIV sanctuaries is the CNS, where the virus penetrates within a week from primum infection and then persists within macrophages, astrocytes, and microglia, hereby triggering chronic neuroinflammation. Persistent low-grade inflammation within the brain of people with HIV (PWH) has been related to the development of HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders (HANDs), which affect nearly half of the patients who achieved viral suppression on therapy.

Elite controllers (ECs) represent a very rare group of individuals with the ability to maintain an undetectable HIV-1 RNA overtime in the absence of cART. The mechanisms underlying virologic control in ECs remain unknown, but it has been shown that some of them achieve asymptomatic HIV-1 infection and prolonged control of clinical progression without cART. ECs harbor HIV reservoirs in some body parts (i.e., small bowel, lymphoid areas, and male reproductive tract) as it was shown in an antibody-targeted PET study in a simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) model. Nevertheless, whether a brain HIV reservoir exists in ECs is to date unknown.

Several neuroimaging methods permit the investigation of the mechanisms underlying neuroinflammatory processes and the consequences of CNS inflammation in living patients. Radiotracers for PET that bind to the translocator protein 18 kDa (TSPO) have proven to be sensitive to inflammatory processes in neurologic disorders involving glial cells. TSPO is a protein located in the outer mitochondrial membrane of microglia and, to a lesser extent, astrocytes, which is thought to play a role in immune response, steroid synthesis, and apoptosis. TSPO expression in the normal brain parenchyma is thought to be low, but in the event of glial activation or macrophage infiltration, a rise in the TSPO expression has been repeatedly observed. Using a second-generation TSPO tracer, [11C]-PBR28, a significant TSPO signal increase has been observed in several conditions, such as chronic pain, multiple sclerosis, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, and others. Most relevant for the current study, an increased uptake of [11C]-PBR28 in parietal and occipital lobes and in the globus pallidus were reported in asymptomatic PWH on therapy compared to healthy controls (HCs).

In this study, we aimed at investigating whether the rare ECs exhibit neuroinflammation to the same extent as PWH on cART and whether neuroinflammation has a functional cognitive correlate. Furthermore, we took advantage of the integrated PET/MRI to explore the relationship between the molecular-level signals provided by [11C]-PBR28 and the microstructural tissue changes in areas of neuroinflammation in patients with HIV. In fact, quantitative MRI (qMRI) such as T1 and T2 relaxometry provides a measure of tissue-level microstructural properties and hereby helps to reveal the structural correlates of neuroinflammation, such as edema, demyelination, tissue degeneration, and iron accumulation. Our hypothesis was that the combination of neuroinflammatory and microstructural information may provide a more complete insight into the pathophysiology of HIV infection of the CNS.

**Methods**

**Population and Data Acquisition**

We enrolled PWH and ECs who had been on stable aviremia (viral load <50 copies/mL) for at least 1 year and on cART for at least 6 months (PWH) and HCs which were identified from a subject pool with no history of neurologic and psychiatric condition. Subjects were scanned using a Siemens PET/MRI scanner consisting of a dedicated brain avalanche photodiode-based PET scanner operating in the bore of a 3 T TRIO magnetic resonance scanner equipped with an 8-channel head coil.

PET data were acquired for 90 minutes after injection of in-house produced [11C]-PBR28. PET data from 60 to 90 minutes postinjection were reconstructed into six 5-minute time frames using OP-OSEM reconstruction. Corrections for attenuation and motion were applied, and PET images were reconstructed to a voxel size of 1.4 × 1.4 × 2 mm³. T1-weighted multi-echo magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo (MEMPRAGE) images (repetition time [TR]/echo time [TE] = 2,530/1.64 milliseconds; voxel size = 1 × 1 × 1 mm³) were also acquired for these subjects.

For a subset of PWH (age: 57 ± 5 years; sex: 11 men and 2 women), additional MR data were acquired using a protocol consisting of (1) magnetization-prepared 2 rapid acquisition gradient echoes (TR/TE = 5,000/2.89 milliseconds, voxel size = 1.0 × 1.0 × 1.2 mm³, field of view [FoV] = 256 × 240 × 212, and acquisition time = 8:22 minutes) for T1 relaxometry...
and (2) T2 relaxometry sequence (TR/TE = 5,850/9 milliseconds, 21 echos, 20 slices: voxel size = 1.0 × 1.0 × 4.0 mm³, FoV = 210 × 175 × 120, and acquisition time = 3 minutes), which uses a new nonlinear inverse reconstruction algorithm that directly estimates a T2 map.²³ One of these 13 subjects was excluded from the analysis due to motion artifacts in T1 and T2 relaxometry data. Among the remaining 12 subjects, 4 were mixed-affinity binders (MABs) (3 men and 1 woman), and 8 were high-affinity binders (HABs) (7 men and 1 woman). The characteristics of subject population and image protocols are summarized in eTable 1 (links.lww.com/NXI/A692).

**PET Image Processing**

Average images of the reconstructed PET data (60–90 minutes postinjection) were computed, and standardized uptake value (SUV) maps (i.e., mean radioactivity/injected dose/weight) were generated using in-house software (MATLAB v2019; MathWorks, Natick, MA). SUV images were registered to MEMPRAGE space using FSL software.²⁴ SUV maps were spatially smoothed (4 mm) to improve the signal-to-noise ratio.

Next, coregistered PET/MR images were transformed to Montreal Institute space using FSL²⁴ where the regions of interest (ROIs), which were selected based on previous literature, were defined using the Harvard-Oxford atlas.²⁵ These anatomically defined ROIs were the following: thalamus, putamen, amygdala, hippocampus, parietal operculum cortex, superior temporal, white matter (WM), and brainstem.

To reduce the global PET signal variability across subjects, we computed SUV ratio (SUVR) maps. SUVR has been used in several [¹¹C]-PBR28 studies and demonstrates good ability to detect signal elevations in regions where neuroinflammation is known or expect to occur (e.g., motor cortex in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis) basal ganglia in Huntington disease, and is known or expect to occur (e.g., motor cortex in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis) basal ganglia in Huntington disease,¹⁷,²⁶ and is known or expect to occur (e.g., motor cortex in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis) basal ganglia in Huntington disease,¹⁷,²⁶ and is known or expect to occur (e.g., motor cortex in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis) basal ganglia in Huntington disease.¹⁷,²⁶

Because of the lack of a true reference region devoid of TSPO, a statistically defined pseudoreference region was used in this normalization based on the absence of statistically significant SUV differences between groups, as previously described by Albrecht et al.²⁸ Whole-brain voxelwise group comparisons of SUVs were conducted covarying for age, sex, and TSPO genotype. The resultant z-maps were thresholded to include voxels between −0.2 and 0.2 (p > 0.84) (i.e., only voxel not statistically different across groups).²⁹ The resulting region was used as a pseudoreference region to normalize SUV values from ROIs.

**MRI Processing**

The MRI analysis was performed on the central part of the brain, roughly 40 mm below and above the thalamus, because the T2 relaxation time (rt) maps were only acquired in that region due to their long acquisition time. Therefore, we focused our analysis on the WM area and thalamus, 2 regions with elevated uptake of [¹¹C]-PBR28 in our cohort of patients compared with controls (see Results). The tissue concentration was estimated using an in-house algorithm by excluding voxels with more than 1% CSF to minimize partial volume effects.³⁰

**Cognitive Tests**

Twelve PWH and 5 ECs also agreed to be administered 2 standardized cognitive tests, the Trail Making Test (TMT), Parts A and B, and the Stroop Color and Word Test. These tests evaluate the integrity of various executive functioning skills, including visuomotor speed (TMT-A), set switching (TMT-B), and both response rates and response inhibition (as indicated by the derived interference scores) on the Stroop Color and Word Test. The participants’ raw scores on each of these tests were converted to z-scores using age-matched normative data.³²,³³

**Statistical Analysis**

Group comparisons of [¹¹C]-PBR28 SUVR were performed in ROI analyses, using either (1) anatomically defined or (2) contrast-defined ROIs (see below), and (3) in the whole brain voxelwise.

For the 8 anatomically defined ROIs, the mean PET signal was compared across groups (PWH, ECs, and HCs) using analysis of variance (ANOVA), with TSPO genotype, sex, and age as covariates. Bonferroni correction was used to correct for the number of regional comparisons. For the regions with a statistically significant group effect, the Dunnett post hoc test was used to evaluate whether ECs were different from HCs and PWHs.

In addition to a priori anatomically defined ROIs, group comparisons were also performed using regions identified in a voxelwise ANOVA, testing for group differences between HCs, ECs, and PWH [¹¹C]-PBR28 SUV maps using non-parametric permutation inference implemented on FSL.²⁴ The resulting Z statistic image was postprocessed using a cluster-forming threshold of Z = 3.1 (main analyses) or Z = 2.3 (a more liberal threshold for exploratory purposes) and a cluster size significance threshold of p = 0.05. The resultant map was masked with regions from Harvard-Oxford atlas resulting in contrast-defined ROIs. From these regions, the mean [¹¹C]-PBR28 SUVR was extracted, and a Dunnett post hoc test was used to test for differences between ECs and HCs or PWH.

In addition to these ROI-based analyses, direct contrasts were computed between (1) Ecs and PWH or (2) ECs and HCs by using a whole-brain voxelwise general linear model, correcting for TSPO genotype, sex, and age. As for the above-mentioned voxelwise ANOVA contrast, direct contrasts were cluster corrected using cluster-forming thresholds of Z = 2.3 and Z = 3.1 and a cluster size significance threshold of p = 0.05.
For all regions with a significant group difference in anatomically defined and contrast-defined ROIs, the association between $[^{11}C]$-PBR28 SUVR and cognitive test scores was evaluated using partial correlation controlling for sex, age, education, and genotype. Cognitive scores were also correlated with MR T1 and T2 rt values.

Based on a general assumption of monotonic relationship between $[^{11}C]$-PBR28 SUVR and T1/T2 rt, we estimated for each subject the correlation between the thalamus and WM by using the Spearman correlation test. Furthermore, a correlation analysis was run comparing the mean $[^{11}C]$-PBR28 SUVR, T1, and T2 values in the thalamus and WM using the same covariates. All statistical tests were performed using MATLAB (v 2019a; MathWorks) and SPSS (v15; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) software packages.

**Standard Protocol Approvals, Registrations, and Patient Consents**

All subjects gave written informed consent, and the study was approved by the local institutional review board committee.

**Data Availability**

Data presented in this work can be shared by the corresponding author to qualified investigators on request.

---

**Results**

Fourteen PWH (age: 56 ± 6 years; sex: 13 men/1 woman; genotype: 10 HABs/4 MABs, as determined based on the Ala147Thr polymorphism in the TSPO gene), 16 HCs (age: 51 ± 12 years; sex: 10 men/6 women; genotype: 11 HABs/5 MABs), and 6 ECs (age: 59 ± 7 years; sex: 5 men/1 woman; genotype: 4 HABs/2 MABs) were enrolled in the study (eTable 1, links.lww.com/NXI/A692). PWH CD4 cell count at the time of the PET-MRI scan did not differ from that of ECs (PWH: 708 ± 260, ECs: 692 ± 188, $p = 0.90$).

**Data-Driven Pseudoreference Region**

Voxels from the pseudoreference region used to normalize the cortical regions are shown in Figure 1A. This pseudoreference region identified encompassed portions of the lingual gyrus, cerebellum, temporal pole, and occipital lobes. Figure 1B shows that the SUV values within this region for HCs, ECs, and PWH were not statistically different.

**Anatomically Defined ROI Analyses**

Regional $[^{11}C]$-PBR28 SUVR values for HCs, ECs, and PWH for anatomically defined regions are shown in Table 1. After Bonferroni correction, results of the ANOVA test showed a significant group effect in $[^{11}C]$-PBR28 SUVR in 7 of the 8 considered ROIs (thalamus, putamen, brainstem, and parietal...
and WM ($p < 0.05$). Results of the Dunnett post hoc test, as shown in Table 1, revealed that ECs exhibit significantly lower $[^{11}C]$-PBR28 SUVR compared with the PWH in the thalamus ($p < 0.05$). No statistically significant group differences were seen between ECs and HCs in any of the regions. Figure 1C shows box plots of SUVR values for each group in the thalamus, parietal operculum cortex, and WM.

### Contrast-Based ROI Analysis

Results of the ANOVA voxelwise contrast yielded a statistically significant group effect in $[^{11}C]$-PBR28 SUVR in the insula, precuneus, prefrontal cortex, medial frontal cortex, posterior parietal cortex, and cerebellum (Table 1; Figure 2A). Figure 2B shows the average $[^{11}C]$-PBR28 SUVR within several contrast-defined ROIs: prefrontal cortex, cerebellum, and occipital cortex for HCs, ECs, and PWH. Results of 2-sided Dunnett post hoc tests showed significantly lower $[^{11}C]$-PBR28 SUVR in ECs vs HCs in the cerebellum ($p < 0.05$).

### Voxelwise Analysis

As shown in Figure 3A, voxelwise contrasts between ECs and PWH showed widespread regions of significantly lower $[^{11}C]$-PBR28 SUVR in the former group, including in the thalamus, WT, precuneus cortex, putamen, middle and inferior temporal gyrus, occipital cortex, and cerebellum. No regions showed significant difference between ECs and HCs (Figure 3B).

### Association Between PET $[^{11}C]$-PBR28 Signal and T1 and T2 rt in the Thalamus and WM

Average T1 and T2 rt values in the WM and thalamus were consistent with previous literature (WM: $T1 = 1,095 \pm 32$ milliseconds, $T2 = 71 \pm 3$ milliseconds; thalamus: $T1 = 1,174 \pm 36$ milliseconds, $T2 = 81 \pm 1$ milliseconds). In the thalamus, no correlation was observed between $T1$ and $[^{11}C]$-PBR28 SUVR (average mean adj-$R = -0.01$) (Figure 4A). Spearman correlation between $T2$ and $[^{11}C]$-PBR28 SUVR in the thalamus showed a negative correlation in all subjects (average mean adj-$R = -0.33$) (Figure 4B). In the WM, there was a weak direct correlation between $T1$ and $[^{11}C]$-PBR28 SUVR (average mean adj-$R = 0.17$), whereas the indirect correlation between $T2$ and $[^{11}C]$-PBR28 SUVR in the WM was stronger (average mean adj-$R = -0.41$) (Figure 5).

### Association Between PET/MRI Results and Cognitive Test Scores

Table 2 shows the cognitive raw scores for ECs and PWH (no cognitive scores are available for HCs as indicated above, the

#### Table 1 Regional $[^{11}C]$-PBR28 SUVR Values for HCs, ECs, and PWH for Anatomically Defined ROIs and Contrast-Based ROIs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomically defined ROIs</th>
<th>HC MAB (n = 5)</th>
<th>HC HAB (n = 11)</th>
<th>EC MAB (n = 2)</th>
<th>EC HAB (n = 4)</th>
<th>PWH MAB (n = 4)</th>
<th>PWH HAB (n = 10)</th>
<th>Dunnett test $p$ values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.30 0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putamen</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.35 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.58 0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal operculum cortex</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.34 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior temporal</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.25 0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White matter</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.00 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial frontal cortex</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.00 0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Contrast-based ROIs**

| Insula                    | 0.90 ± 0.13   | 0.89 ± 0.08    | 0.90 ± 0.05   | 0.95 ± 0.08   | 0.94 ± 0.05    | 1.06 ± 1.09    | 0.25 0.09            |
| Precuneus                 | 0.81 ± 0.10   | 0.83 ± 0.07    | 0.86 ± 0.02   | 0.91 ± 0.09   | 0.88 ± 0.09    | 0.97 ± 0.07    | 0.30 0.43            |
| Occipital cortex          | 0.95 ± 0.08   | 0.96 ± 0.07    | 0.98 ± 0.01   | 1.01 ± 0.58   | 1.07 ± 0.12    | 1.07 ± 0.06    | 0.27 0.12            |
| Cerebellum                | 0.97 ± 0.03   | 1.01 ± 0.03    | 1.01 ± 0.08   | 1.10 ± 0.04   | 1.14 ± 0.06    | 1.13 ± 0.04    | 0.04 0.07            |
| Prefrontal cortex         | 0.87 ± 0.14   | 0.87 ± 0.06    | 0.93 ± 0.06   | 0.95 ± 0.11   | 0.97 ± 0.09    | 1.07 ± 0.13    | 0.07 0.04*           |
| Posterior parietal cortex | 0.84 ± 0.12   | 0.82 ± 0.07    | 0.86 ± 0.01   | 0.91 ± 0.07   | 0.92 ± 0.11    | 0.99 ± 0.10    | 0.21 0.18            |
| Medial frontal cortex     | 0.90 ± 0.14   | 0.89 ± 0.07    | 0.94 ± 0.02   | 0.97 ± 0.11   | 1.00 ± 0.05    | 1.09 ± 0.13    | 0.30 0.15            |

**Abbreviations:** ANOVA = analysis of variance; EC = elite controller; HAB = high-affinity binder; HC = healthy control; MAB = mixed-affinity binder; PWH = people with HIV; ROI = region of interest; SUVR = standard uptake value ratio.

A 2-way ANOVA test with the Dunnett post hoc test was used to compute $p$ values between ECs and other groups using age, sex, and genotypes as covariates.

*Regions with significant group differences after Bonferroni correction.*
tests used are standardized measures, and scores are based on comparison to age-matched normative data). No statistically significant difference was observed between groups for all of the test raw scores (unpaired t test, \( p > 0.05 \)). PWH performed <1.5 z-score on the TMT-B test, whereas ECs performed <1.5 z-score on the Stroop Word Reading and Stroop Color Naming subtests (but not on the interference score).

In anatomic ROIs, a positive correlation was observed between the Stroop Color Naming and \([^{11}\text{C}]-\text{PBR28}\) signal in the WM \((r = 0.56, \ p < 0.05)\). A positive trend was seen between mean T1 rt in WM and Stroop Word Reading and Stroop Color-Word Test conditions \((r = 0.67, \ p = 0.05 \text{ and } r = 0.64, \ p = 0.06, \text{ respectively})\). No other significant relationship was observed between cognitive scores and \([^{11}\text{C}]-\text{PBR28}\) SUVR or T1/T2 rt.

**Figure 2 Contrast-Defined ROI Analyses**

Whole-brain voxelwise ANOVA contrast, identifying regions with significantly different PET signal between groups (A). Voxels marked with cyan and red-yellow colors represent regions identified as statistically significant using a cluster-forming threshold of 2.3 and 3.1, respectively. Regions with significant difference (A) were intersected with labels from the Harvard-Oxford Structural Atlas, to identify contrast-defined ROIs. Mean SUVRs extracted from 3 of these regions (displayed in green): prefrontal cortex (B.a), cerebellum (B.b), and insula (B.c) ROIs (B.a–c). *\( p < 0.05 \) (Dunnett test). ANOVA = analysis of variance; EC = elite controller; HC = healthy control; PWH = people with HIV; ROI = region of interest; SUVR = standard uptake value ratio.

**Figure 3 Voxelwise Group Comparisons of \([^{11}\text{C}]-\text{PBR28}\) PET Signal**

Results of voxelwise group comparison analysis between ECs and PWH (A) and between ECs and HCs (B). Voxels marked with cyan color and red-yellow colors represent regions identified as statistically significant using a cluster-forming threshold of 2.3 and 3.1, respectively. EC = elite controller; HC = healthy control; PWH = people with HIV; SUVR = standard uptake value ratio.
Discussion

The results of this work demonstrate a significantly greater \([^{11}C]\)-PBR28 uptake in aviremic PWH on antiretroviral therapy compared with ECs and an overall similar \([^{11}C]\)-PBR28 SUVR in ECs and HCs, suggesting that ECs spontaneously suppress better neuroinflammation than PWH on cART. Moreover, our data show that neuroinflammation in PWH is related to tissue changes in qMRI measures that are compatible with loss of tissue integrity and iron accumulation. Finally, our work casts a light into the relationship between PET and qMRI measures of inflammation and cognitive performance in both PWH and ECs.
A previous [11C]-PBR28 PET study showed that aviremic PWH on cART exhibit neuroinflammation in different brain areas compared with HIV-negative controls. In addition, similar results were obtained by using [11C]-DPA-713 PET, which revealed significantly higher glial activation in aviremic PWH with dementia compared with aviremic PWH without dementia with undetectable viral load. Our group has also previously shown that optimally treated PWH with mild neurocognitive impairment show MRI signs of increased brain tissue inflammation and degeneration compared with equally well-treated PWH without HAND and noninfected subjects.

In this work, we extend previous findings by showing that [11C]-PBR28 uptake is higher in aviremic PWH on cART than in ECs in numerous brain regions, encompassing subcortical gray matter nuclei (thalamus and putamen), the WM, the hemispheric cortex (precuneus, middle and inferior temporal gyrus, and occipital cortex), and the cerebellum. ECs are a rare group of people...
infected with HIV that spontaneously control viral replication in the blood: some of them, like the subjects enrolled in this study, achieve long-standing viral suppression and remain asymptomatic. It was recently shown that EC macaques have SIV harbors in some viral sanctuary sites such as the male reproductive tract, the small bowel, and lymphoid tissue. To date, however, little is known about the presence of HIV in the brain of ECs.

Results of this study show that there is little evidence of neuroinflammation in the brain of ECs: this may be because HIV is not triggering a chronic low-level inflammatory response as in PWH who require cART to achieve viral suppression. In addition, ECs may be able to prevent the virus to penetrate into the CNS, as suggested by previous studies. Once HIV penetrates the CNS, it infects glial cells and—in PWH where viral load is controlled by cART—it perpetuates a chronic low-level inflammatory activity. Indeed, neuropathologic, genetic, and molecular studies in brain specimens and in the CSF showed increased microglia activation, immune responses, and inflammatory biomarkers in PWH with long-term effective therapy. Viral blipping may also occur in virally suppressed PWH on cART, which may contribute to increased neuroinflammation. Furthermore, cART may not adequately penetrate into the CNS in these PWH despite peripheral viral suppression or may be responsible for neurotoxic effects. Future studies should aim at further investigating the relationship between different cART compounds/viral blipping and neuroinflammation in aviremic PWH on cART.

Our work also provides first evidence that the extent of increase of neuroinflammation, as measured by $^{[11]}$C-PBR28 uptake, is locally related to a tissue-level increase in T1 rt and decrease in T2 rt. The T1 and T2 rt depend on water content and its motility, but also on density of micro- and macromolecules in the tissue and on presence of paramagnetic ions, like iron. The observed increase in T1 rt could be thus explained by loss of tissue microstructure due to HIV infection, directly through the viral infection of glial cells and/or through the immune response to the virus triggering neuroinflammation. Yet, a loss of tissue microstructure is expected to cause an increase in T2 rt: instead, we found a decrease in the mean T2 rt in areas of higher $^{[11]}$C-PBR28 uptake. This finding may be compatible with an iron accumulation in regions of increased glial metabolism/$^{[11]}$C-PBR28 uptake (Figure 6). In fact, previous studies have showed that tissue concentration of non–transferrin-bound iron (NTBI) increases in pathologic conditions associated with neuroinflammation. Iron accumulation is supposed to be associated with changes in the levels of iron transporters due to inflammatory stimuli. Microglia and astrocytes are believed to be primarily responsible for the uptake of NTBI from the extracellular space to prevent extracellular Fenton chemistry, resulting in colocalization of tissue iron increment and activated glial cells.

Increased iron presence should concomitantly shorten T1 and T2 rt. However, disease-related alterations in iron content might be difficult to be observed by means of T1 rt measurements and might be overshadowed by any changes in water content or loss of micro- and macromolecules, which affect T2 rt in a lesser extent. Altogether, an increased tissue iron content coupled with moderate loss of tissue microstructure represents a plausible explanation for the obtained qMRI results (Figure 6).

Of interest, PWH and ECs showed mild deficits in executive functioning skills, including visuomotor speed, cognitive set shifting, and response inhibition. Nevertheless, the processing speed and selective attention of these subjects were positively related to the uptake of $^{[11]}$C-PBR28 in WM and to its integrity measured with T1 relaxometry. This suggests that the presence of low-grade chronic inflammatory activity in aviremic PWH and ECs does not directly affect cognition but rather stimulate the parallel development of compensatory mechanisms leading to normal executive functioning.

Our study has some limitations related to the small sample size and to the absence of a true reference region for our $^{[11]}$C-PBR28 analyses due to rarity of ECs and to the absence of a region devoid of TSPO, respectively. Furthermore, because of the invasiveness of radiometabolite-corrected arterial input function measurement techniques and other practical limitations, no dynamic PET data were available for fully quantitative PET measurements (i.e., binding potential and distribution volume ratio). These results would need to be replicated with fully quantitative PET imaging. In this work, we studied the

Table 2 Cognitive Raw Scores and Z-Scores for Individual Tests and Stroop Interference Score for ECs and PWHs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test name</th>
<th>EC (n = 5)</th>
<th>PWH (n = 12)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raw score</td>
<td>Z-score</td>
<td>Z-score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trails A</td>
<td>25.6 ± 6.9</td>
<td>0.92 ± 1.15</td>
<td>30.9 ± 9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trails B</td>
<td>80.8 ± 46.8</td>
<td>-0.03 ± 2.95</td>
<td>111.4 ± 55.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop word</td>
<td>98.0 ± 17.8</td>
<td>-1.78 ± 3.13</td>
<td>91.6 ± 23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop color</td>
<td>68.6 ± 19.6</td>
<td>-1.80 ± 3.09</td>
<td>64.4 ± 17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop color-word</td>
<td>49.2 ± 19.1</td>
<td>-0.87 ± 4.12</td>
<td>36.5 ± 11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop interference</td>
<td>9.2 ± 12.2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>-0.75 ± 8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: EC = elite controller; N/A = not available; PWH = people with HIV.
relationship between PET and quantitative T1 and T2 relaxometry measurements in the thalamus and WM. The choice of these structures was motivated by the association between WM/thalamus abnormalities and cognitive impairment in PWH in previous studies and by their involvement in neuroinflammation in other brain disorders. Future works should extend our observations to other brain regions where other studies have demonstrated pathophysiologic changes linked to neuropsychological alterations in this patient population, such as frontal and parietal cortical areas, cingulate cortex, and basal ganglia. We also lack T1 and T2 relaxometry acquisitions in HCs because those sequences were not available at the time when PET/MRI was performed in these subjects. Future studies should hence confirm the observed relationship between molecular and tissular markers of neuroinflammation in a larger group of brain regions in other patients populations and healthy subjects. Our study also included only 3 tests to assess the cognitive function. A more comprehensive neuropsychological assessment will be needed to further study the links between neuroinflammation and cognitive function in PWH and ECs.

In conclusion, the results of this work demonstrate significantly lower $[^{11}C]$PBR28 signal in ECs compared with PWH patients on therapy, suggesting that the former might be able to better control neuroinflammation. This work also shows the complementarity of PET-related metabolic information with microstructural knowledge derived from quantitative MRI in the study of neuroinflammation.
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